A Corpus Analysis of Plesionyms *Attribute*, *Characteristic*, and *Trait* in Academic English

Sadie M. M. Nitta* Hawaii Pacific University

Abstract

This paper investigates the plesionyms attribute, characteristic, and trait using the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA). It explores their frequency and variation across COCA's six registers and sub-registers, then examines their grammatical behaviors and semantic preference and prosody through concordancing in COCA's academic journals (ACAD). The results show that attribute mostly occurs in academic journals related to business, science/technology, and education; characteristic mostly occurs in academic journals related to business. Noun collocations associated with each term suggest a strong preference for standards/quality with positive semantic prosody (*attribute*), demographics/ species/property with neutral semantic prosody (*characteristic*), and cognition/behavior/genetics with neutral semantic prosody (*trait*). The paper concludes with a set of materials for one corpus-informed lesson following the Illustration-Induction-Interaction (I-I-I) model (Timmis, 2015).

Introduction

Differentiating between plesionyms, or near-synonyms, that frequently occur in Academic English (AE) is useful for lexical choice in discourse. Although there is a consensus among linguists that true synonymy is "quite rare" (Hirst, 1995, p. 51), dictionaries and thesauri often characterize such words as identical in meaning, which can be "very misleading" to language learners (Biber et al., 2007, p. 43). This paper aims to investigate the plesionyms—more specifically, noun near-synonyms – *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* using the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA). First, it identifies their frequency and variation across COCA's six registers and sub-registers, then assesses their (a) grammatical behaviors and (b) semantic preference and prosody across COCA's academic journals (ACAD) via concordancing. Finally, it provides a set of materials designed for one corpus-informed lesson following the Illustration-Induction-Interaction (I-I-I) model (Timmis, 2015), including English as a Second Language (ESL) teaching implications in academic prose.

The Target Nouns: What We Already Know About Their Form, Meaning, and Use

According to Longman Student Grammar of Spoken and Written English (Biber et al., 2002), nouns can be grouped into a small number of classes – concrete, abstract, countable, uncountable, proper, common, and package – which differ in meaning and grammatical behavior (p. 56). In this paper, the target nouns under examination are *attribute, characteristic*, and *trait*.

Attribute, characteristic, and trait represent common countable nouns, which account for singular and plural forms. Furthermore, the target nouns are considered abstract nouns, which refer to abstractions such as events, states, times, and qualities. According to Biber et al. (2002), "The

creative

Nitta, S. M. M. (2023). A corpus analysis of plesionyms attribute, characteristic, and trait in academic English. TESOL Working Paper Series, 21, 80-120.

Website: Hawaii Pacific University http://www.hpu.edu

^{*}Email: snitta1@my.hpu.edu. Address: 1 Aloha Drive, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA.

distinction between concrete and abstract is purely semantic: it has no real grammatical role, since abstract nouns, like concrete nouns, can be countable, uncountable, common, or proper" (p. 57). So far, there is no strong distinction between the forms of nouns *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait*.

Looking closely at the origins and meanings of nouns *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* is important for setting up the investigation. Based on *Merriam-Webster.com*, Figure 1 displays their etymology, dictionary definitions, and example phrases ("attribute," 2022; "characteristic," 2022; "trait," 2022). Although *characteristic* derives from Greek and *attribute* and *trait* derive from Latin, all three target nouns entered English through French. Their core meanings refer to the 'quality' of something, and the dictionary definitions are insufficient to differentiate them for language use, which necessitates a corpus analysis. In addition, the *Merriam-Webster.com* examples given for *characteristic* and *trait* show that they both can be used as "species noun" (Biber et al., 2002, p. 64) – often followed by an *of*-phrase to refer to the type of something. This background knowledge on these words will provide greater insight to this paper's investigation and findings.









The Phenomenon of Plesionymy (or Near-Synonymy)

Plesionymy, or near-synonymy, refers to two or more words that are close in meaning but "not fully inter-substitutable" (Hirst, 1995, p. 51). Plesionyms can vary in more than one way, such as their connotation, denotation, emphasis, implicature, register, style, or use (Cruse, 1986; DiMarco et al., 1993; Edmonds, 1999). According to Hirst (1995), "It is difficult even for native speakers of a language to command the differences between plesionyms well enough to use them with invariable precision, or to articulate those differences even when they are known" (p. 51). As a result, lexical choice and transfer issues are common for language learners.

Past research can help determine what kinds of differences exist between plesionyms. For example, Gove (1984) analyzed the noun plesionyms *lie, misrepresentation, fib, falsehood,* and *untruth* in context. Gove's (1984) analysis revealed the differences in focus and register amongst these plesionyms (e.g., *misrepresentation* and *untruth* are used euphemistically, whereas *lie* is deliberate and direct; *fib* is used informally and seen as childish, whereas *falsehood* is formal). In another example, Gove (1984) discovered that the difference between the noun plesionyms *enemy* and *foe* is that *enemy* emphasizes antagonism or hatred while *foe* emphasizes active fighting. Room (1985) determined specific differences between the noun plesionyms *forest* and *wood(s)*. According to Room (1985), "A 'wood' is smaller than a 'forest,' is not so primitive, and is usually nearer to civilization. This means that a 'forest' is fairly extensive, is to some extent wild, and on the whole not near large towns or cities. In addition, a 'forest' often has game or wild animals in it, which a 'wood' does not, apart from the standard quota of regular rural denizens such as rabbits, foxes and birds of various kinds..." (p. 270). These differences are context-driven and weigh heavily on one's lexical choice.

Issues related to lexical choice and first language (L1) transfer are quite similar for language learners. Language learners are likely to choose a word in the target language that is closest to a word in their first language. Often, these L1 transfers show examples of plesionyms, not true synonyms (Hirst, 1995). For instance, the German word *Wald* is part of the cross-linguistic plesionym group *forest, wood(s),* and *Wald*. According to Hirst (1995), "the German word *Wald* is close in meaning to the English word *forest,* but *Wald* can denote a rather smaller and more urban area of trees than *forest*" (p. 52). In contrast to Room's (1995) analysis of the plesionyms *forest* and *wood(s),* it is clear that in some situations, *woods* would be a better translation of *Wald* than *forest*. Meaning differences between plesionyms can be targeted using four broad categories based on Cruse (1986) and Edmond's (1999) research: denotational variation, stylistic variation (including dialect and register), expressive variation (including emotive and attitudinal aspects), and structural variation (including collocational, selectional, and syntactic variations).

Data Driven Learning in Second Language Acquisition and English Language Teaching

The theoretical foundation for Data Driven Learning (DDL) in Second Language Acquisition (SLA) and teaching is the proven value of examining naturally occurring language in context (authenticity) and promoting self-sufficiency in language learning (autonomy) (Timmis, 2015; Friginal, 2018; Green, 2019). One advantage of DDL is the quantity and quality of language evidence, which enables teachers and learners to write comprehensive descriptions and confirm, refute, or refine a language hypothesis (Timmis, 2015). In this light, learners truly become investigators of the language as the teacher guides them through the generalization process towards independent learning.

Through DDL, corpora are recognized as a special type of informant, not a tutor or surrogate teacher (Johns, 1991). Teacher guidance is necessary in the training and initial exploration of the computing tool. Friginal (2018) and other experts suggest that teachers should take on the role of

director and coordinator in DDL. Furthermore, Timmis (2015) states that "Corpus-informed teachers, arguably, will be better placed to make opportunistic decisions in the [ESL] classroom about what vocabulary to highlight from listening or reading texts or from classroom discussions" (p. 53). From this standpoint, DDL can result in memorable learning and teaching moments.

Although there are notable challenges with the implementation of corpus technology, past research has outlined several pedagogical benefits. Most famously, Johns and King (1991) published three primary conclusions — based on exploratory case studies with students (overseas postgraduates in a wide range of subject areas who need to improve their English for instrumental reasons) — about students using concordance output regularly:

- 1. Using concordancing, learners can develop the ability to see patterning in the target language and to form generalizations to account for that patterning.
- 2. The teacher has to learn to become a director and coordinator of student-initiated research.
- 3. Traditional grammar teaching is top-down; DDL is bottom-up.

Concerning the first conclusion, O'Keeffe et al. (2007) recommend creating a lexico-grammatical profile for target vocabulary via concordancing. This corpus strategy leads learners towards making their own generalizations by inviting them to explore a target words' collocates, chunks/idioms, syntactic restrictions, semantic restrictions, prosody, and other relevant or recurring features (pp. 14-15). Due to the overwhelming amount of data, teachers can also use guiding questions with varying degrees of control to help familiarize students with the generalization process. Although there is hesitation amongst teachers to incorporate corpus technology in the classroom, perception studies have shown that "many students enjoy DDL as a novel learning approach" (Vyatkina & Boulton, 2017, p. 1).

Research Questions

- 1. How frequently are the nouns *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* used in different registers? How frequently are they used in different sub-registers?
- 2. What are the differences in the semantic preferences of nouns *attribute, characteristic*, and *trait* in Academic English?
- 3. What are the differences in the semantic prosody of nouns *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* in Academic English?

Corpus

Created by Mark Davies (2008-), the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA) is a principled collection of natural texts, spanning over 1 billion words — 1,001,610,938, to be exact — and divided into eight registers (or genres): blogs (125 million words [125,496,215]); web pages (130 million words [129,899,426]); TV/movie subtitles (128 million words [128,013,334]); fiction (120 million words [127,352,014]); popular magazines (127 million words [127,352,014]); newspapers (123 million words [122,959,393]); and academic journals (121 million words [120,9388,348]). On the one hand, due to its large database, it is known as a general or reference corpus. On the other hand, due to its ever-increasing database, it is also known as a monitor corpus because users can 'monitor' how the language is used and changes over time. For this paper, the sub-registers of COCA's academic journals (ACAD) or Academic English (AE) were also explored. According to Davies (2008-), COCA's ACAD/AE sub-registers include nearly 100 peer-reviewed journals selected from a balance of history, education, geography/social science, law/political science, humanities, philosophy/religion,

science/technology, business, and miscellaneous. See <u>https://www.english-corpora.org/coca/</u> for more information.

Analysis

Research Question 1: How frequently are the nouns *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* used in different registers? How frequently are they used in different sub-registers?

To account for all target forms of the nouns *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait*, the search string utilized was [attribute]_NN, [characteristic]_NN, and [trait]_NN in COCA.

Using the CHART function in COCA, the overall frequency per million of *attribute* is 14.32 (see Figure 2). For *characteristic*, the overall frequency per million is 38.11 (see Figure 3); and for *trait*, 18.63 (see Figure 4). This means that amongst these three nouns, *characteristic* is the most frequent, then *trait* and *attribute*. In addition, Figures 2 through 4 display the variation of *attribute*, *characteristic*, and *trait* over time (between 1990-2019). It appears that *trait* shows stronger signs of an upward trend (from 15.02 per mil in 1990-94 to 18.88 per mil in 2015-19).

Figure 2

Frequency and Register Variation of attribute in COCA

				a	tt	ri	b	ut	e	_1	11	J			
SECTION	ALL	BLOG	WEB	TV/M	SPOK	FIC	MAG	NEWS	ACAD	1990-94	1995-99	2000-04	2005-09	2010-14	2015-19
FREQ	14222	1282	5734	167	231	323	1070	514	4901	1368	1146	1158	1166	1008	1360
WORDS (M)	993	128.6	124.3	128.1	126.1	118.3	126.1	121.7	119.8	121.1	125.2	124.6	123.1	123.3	122.8
PER MIL	14.32	9.97	46.15	1.30	1.83	2.73	8.49	4.22	40.91	11.30	9.15	9.29	9.48	8.17	11.08
SEE ALL SUB-SECTIONS AT ONCE															

Figure 3

Frequency and Register Variation of characteristic in COCA

			[•	ch	ar	a	ct	er	is	t	ic]_1	NN			
SECTION	ALL	BLOG	WEB	TV/M	SPOK	FIC	MAG	NEWS	ACAD		1990-94	1995-99	2000-04	2005-09	2010-14	2015-19
FREQ	37849	2606	4244	326	876	536	3331	1151	24779		5443	4996	4656	5143	5706	5055
WORDS (M)	993	128.6	124.3	128.1	126.1	118.3	126.1	121.7	119.8		121.1	125.2	124.6	123.1	123.3	122.8
PER MIL	38.11	20.26	34.16	2.55	6.94	4.53	26.42	9.45	206.85		44.94	39.90	37.36	41.80	46.26	41.18
SEE ALL SUB-SECTIONS AT ONCE											/					

1'/	requency and Register variation of trait in GOCA																
						[t	ra	ai	t]			IN					
	SECTION	ALL	BLOG	WEB	TV/M	SPOK	FIC	MAG	NEWS	ACAD		1990-94	1995-99	2000-04	2005-09	2010-14	2015-19
	FREQ	18502	2194	3151	455	546	820	2907	1081	7348		1819	2102	2379	2047	2493	2317
	WORDS (M)	993	128.6	124.3	128.1	126.1	118.3	126.1	121.7	119.8		121.1	125.2	124.6	123.1	123.3	122.8
	PER MIL	18.63	17.06	25.36	3.55	4.33	6.93	23.05	8.88	61.34		15.02	16.79	19.09	16.64	20.21	18.88
	SEE ALL SUB-SECTIONS AT ONCE																

Figure 4 Frequency and Register Variation of trait in COCA

Returning to COCA's initial CHART search, one may also notice the high frequency registers for attribute, characteristic, and trait ([attribute]_NN, [characteristic]_NN, and [trait]_NN). Interestingly, they share the top three registers but in different order: Attribute mostly occurs in web pages (46.15 per mil), academic journals (40.91 per mil), and popular magazines (8.49 per mil). Characteristic mostly occurs in academic journals (206.85 per mil), web pages (34.16 per mil), and popular magazines (26.42 per mil). Lastly, trait mostly occurs in academic journals (61.34 per mil), web pages (25.36 per mil), and popular magazines (23.05 per mil). Something extremely interesting about this finding is that both *characteristic* and *trait* are more frequently used in academic journals than *attribute*. This result is reflective of the change between Coxhead's (2000) Academic Word List (AWL) and Gardner and Davies' (2013) Academic Vocabulary List (AWL): Attribute is included in the AWL but not included in AVL. Coxhead's (2000) AWL is limited to textbooks, whereas Gardner and Davies' (2013) AVL is larger and broader.

Next, the top sub-register of the top three registers were identified. The top sub-registers revealed a general tendency for academic themes (see Figures 5-7). For attribute, the top sub-registers include academic web pages (404.01 per mil), business academic journals (172.01 per mil), and science/technology popular magazines (12.78 per mil). For *characteristic*, the top sub-registers include business academic journals (401.63 per mil), academic web pages (190.99 per mil), and science/technology popular magazines (51.88 per mil). For trait, the top sub-registers include science/technology academic journals (129.48 per mil), academic web pages (101.09 per mil), and science/technology popular magazines (53.92 per mil). This further illustrates why these nouns are worth noting in Academic English (AE) and how they differ across registers (Cruse, 1986; DiMarco et al., 1993; Edmonds, 1999).

Figure 5 Frequency and Sub-Register Variation of attribute in COCA

		-				
tr Legal	fo Instr	c Info	Fic	Arg	Acad	SECTION
23 375	10 1923	0 710	19	920	1155	FREQ
5 3.7	.0 7.5	.9 3.0	10.	55.0	2.9	WORDS (M)
.83 101.82	5.87 254.83	36 235.87	17.3	16.74	404.01	PER MIL
						CLICK FOR CONTEXT
manities Phil/Rel	/PolSci Humar	ocSci Law/Pol	Geog/So	Education	History	SECTION
760 279	256 760	5 256	1015	847	231	FREQ
16.2 7.8	12.3 16.3	12.3	20.0	15.8	13.4	WORDS (M)
46.90 35.58	0.84 46.9	8 20.84	50.6	53.69	17.24	PER MIL
	_					CLICK FOR CONTEXT
easts Estataia	Delizion Coor	Cas/Aste Da	Feiffach	Figure sight	News (Onin	(SCTION)
122 25	27 12	102	228	Financiai	206	EREO
132 25	57 152	108	10.0	2.2	200	PREQ
19.1 7.7	5.0 14.	9.5	10.0	7.2	21.1	WORDS (M)
3.33 3.24	0.00 9.3	11.30	12.70	7.10	7,44	PERMIL
						CLICK FOR CONTEXT
Legal 375 3.7 101.82 anities Phil/Rel 60 279 6.2 7.8 i.90 35.58 orts Entertain 32 25 4.1 7.7 35 3.24	3 ar 6.1 3.1 9 0 7 8 1 9	Linstr 1923 7.5 254.8: Sci IHum 5.6 ↓ 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Info Instr 0 710 1923 9 3.0 7.5 16 235.87 254.83 10 256 7 10 256 7 11<58 20.84 46 108 37 1 9.3 5.6 1 11.58 6.66 9	Fic Info Instr 190 710 1923 10.9 3.0 7.5 17.3 235.87 254.83 17.3 235.87 254.83 17.3 235.87 254.83 10.9 2.8 1 10.9 2.5 7 20.0 12.3 11 50.68 20.84 466 50.72 20.84 37 21.3 3.0 1 50.68 20.84 466 52.3 3.0 1 52.4 37 1 18.6 9.3 5.6 1 12.78 11.58 6.66 9 12.78 11.58 6.66 9	Arg Fic Info Instr 920 190 710 1923 55.0 10.9 3.0 7.5 16.74 17.36 235.87 254.83 16.74 17.36 235.87 254.83 Education Geog/Soc: $Law/PolSci 7 15.8 20.0 12.3 11 53.69 50.68 20.244 46 Financial Sc/Tech Soc/Arts Religion 5 7.10 12.78 11.58 6.66 9 7.10 12.78 11.58 6.66 9 6.11 11.58 6.66 9 9 6.11 11.58 6.66 9 9 6.11 11.58 6.66 9 9 6.11 11.58 6.66 9 9 6.11 11.58 6.66 9 9 6.11 11.58 6.66 9 9 6.11 11.58 6.66 9 9 6.11 11.58 $	Acad Arg Fic Info Instr 1155 920 190 710 1923 2.9 55.0 10.9 3.0 7.5 404.01 16.74 17.36 235.87 254.83 History Education Geog/Soc:Sc Law/PolSci Hum 231 847 1015 25.6 7 13.4 15.8 20.0 12.3 11 17.24 53.69 50.68 20.84 466 News/Opin Financial Sci/Tech Soc/Arts Relizion 56 7.2 18.6 9.3 5.6 1 1 7.44 7.10 12.78 11.58 66.6 9 206 51 238 108 37 1 7.44 7.10 12.78 11.58 6.66 9 7.44 7.10 12.78 11.58 6.66 9

Figure 6

Frequency and Sub-Register Variation of characteristic in COCA

	SECTION	History	Education	Geog/Se	ocSci	Law/PolSc	i	Humanities	Phil/Rel	Sci/Tech	Medicine	Misc	Business	
	FREQ	1161	5876	5852	2	981		1972	1239	3362	3563	296	474	
	WORDS (M)	13.4	15.8	20.0)	12.3		16.2	7.8	17.5	10.8	4.8	1.2	\triangleright
	PER MIL	86.67	372.49	292.1	18	79.85		121.71	158.01	192.62	329.62	61.44	401.63	Ω
	CLICK FOR CONTEXT													AD
	SECTION	Acad	Arg	Fic	:	Info		Instr	Legal	News	Pers	Revw	Misc	
	FREQ	546	1888	204	4	267		340	120	194	63	176	446	
	WORDS (M)	2.9	55.0	10.	9	3.0		7.5	3.7	13.4	5.6	10.5	16.2	<
	PER MIL	190.99	34.35	18.6	54	88.70		45.06	32.58	14.51	11.29	16.81	27.56	<
	CLICK FOR CONTEXT													EB
	SECTION	News/Opin	Financial	Sci/Tech	Soc/A	Arts Relig	ion	Sports	Entertain	Home/Health	Afric-Amer	Children	Women/Men	
	FREQ	515	116	966	406	6 16	1	298	48	608	58	49	109	
V	VORDS (M)	27.7	7.2	18.6	9.3	3 5.	6	14.1	7.7	20.7	4.3	2.4	8.5	2
	PER MIL	18.61	16.15	51.88	43.5	55 28.	99	21.10	6.22	29.37	13.60	20.24	12.80	2
	CLICK FOR CONTEXT													Ą

s	Business	Misc	Medicine	Sci/Tech	Phil/Rel	Humanities	w/PolSci	cSci Li	Geog/So	Education	History	SECTION
_	123	121	383	2260	893	818	188	3	1303	969	288	FREQ
_	1.2	4.8	10.8	17.5	7.8	16.2	12.3		20.0	15.8	13.4	WORDS (M)
:	104.22	25.12	35.43	129.48	113.88	50.48	15.30	5	65.0	61.43	21.50	PER MIL
												CLICK FOR CONTEXT
	Misc	Revw	Pers	News	Legal	Instr	Info		Fic	Arg	Acad	SECTION
_	586	232	75	93	15	97	115	1	191	1458	289	FREQ
_	16.2	10.5	5.6	13.4	3.7	7.5	3.0	9	10.5	55.0	2.9	WORDS (M)
_	36.21	22.16	13.44	6.96	4.07	12.85	8.20	5 3	17.4	26.52	101.09	PER MIL
												CLICK FOR CONTEXT
_		et litera	Min Laws	the second second	Factoria	Concertor .	Delfalar	6 18 - to -	6 -1 (F h-	Risserial		and the second s
n	Women/Men	Children	Afric-Amer	Home/Health	Entertain	sports	Religion	Soc/Arts	Sci/Tech	Financial	News/Opin	SECTION
_	161	4/	8/	403	76	244	83	212	1004	95	497	PREQ
_	18.91	19.47	20.40	19.47	9.85	17.28	14.95	9.5 22.74	53.97	13.23	17.95	PER MIL
_												CLICK FOR CONTEXT

Figure 7 Frequency and Sub-Register Variation of trait in COCA

Focusing on COCA's academic journals (ACAD) register, the most frequent sub-registers of *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* begin to reveal the nature and differences between these near-synonyms. As shown in Figures 5 through 7, *attribute* mostly occurs in academic journals related to business (172.01 per mil), science/technology (55.52 per mil), and education (53.69 per mil); *characteristic* mostly occurs in academic journals related to business (401.63 per mil), education (372.49 per mil), and medicine (329.62 per mil); *trait* mostly occurs in academic journals related to science/technology (129.48 per mil), philosophy/religion (113.88 per mil), and business (104.22 per mil). In all, the most frequent academic journals across all three are business, science/technology, and education. These are the sub-registers that will be explored in the next set of questions.

Research Question 2: What are the differences in the semantic preferences of nouns *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* in Academic English?

Using the COLLOCATES function in COCA, the top 30 collocates of *attribute* ([attribute_NN]), *characteristic* ([characteristic]_NN), and *trait* ([trait]_NN) were analyzed in the left (1L) and right (1R) contexts in COCA's academic journals (see Tables 1-2). Then, using the same search string, the top 15 verb, noun¹, adjective, and adverb collocates were identified separately in the left (1L) and right (1R) contexts in COCA's academic journals (see Tables 3-12). Based on this initial search, the semantic preferences among *attribute, characteristic*, and *trait* begin to emerge.

¹ Noun collocates required further investigation. Using the same search string, the top 15 noun collocates were identified in the left (1L, 6L) and right (1L, 6R) contexts in COCA's academic journals (see Tables 5-8).

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	<i>the</i> (563)	<u>the</u> (2,300)	<i>the</i> (556)
2	of(170)	demographic (1,008)	personality (476)
3	these (159)	<u>these</u> (623)	of (296)
4	an (158)	<u>and</u> (481)	and (204)
5	physical (117)	<u> (386)</u>	a~(202)
6	personal (97)	personal (351)	<i>these</i> (196)
7	and (95)	of (349)	character (173)
8	other (94)	physical (325)	, (121)
9	positive (77)	(292)	this (119)
10	<i>important</i> (57)	<i>student</i> (291)	type (91)
11	's (53)	personality (281)	<u>cultural</u> (89)
12	each (49)	<u>a</u> (253)	quantitative (67)
13	, (48)	<u>other</u> (248)	<i>that</i> (65)
14	<i>those</i> (41)	<u>individual</u> (216)	other (63)
15	individual (40)	<u>common</u> (206)	. (55)
16	negative (40)	unique (203)	personal (55)
17	key (39)	defining (195)	common (53)
18	different (38)	<i>important</i> (170)	between (51)
19	<i>three</i> (37)	sociodemographic (170)	<i>for</i> (50)
20	cultural (36)	sample (160)	behavioral (49)
21	"(33)	<u>specific</u> (157)	positive (49)
22	<i>its</i> (33)	background (145)	physical (47)
23	or (31)	essential (136)	quality (45)
24	· (28)	<u>(130)</u>	in (44)
25	creative (27)	<u>behavioral</u> (130)	<u>or</u> (44)
26	human (26)	baseline (127)	<u>(43)</u>
27	job (26)	<u>certain</u> (123)	<u>human</u> (43)
28	<i>their</i> (26)	similar (123)	<u>with</u> (43)
29	with (26)		phenotypic (42)
30	specific (25)	this (191)	certain (40)

 Table 1

 Top 30 Collocates (1L) of attribute characteristic and trait in COCA's Academic Tournals

Note. Distinct collocates are in bold, while overlapping collocates occurring at different frequencies are both underlined and bolded in the column with the highest frequency.

As shown in Table 1, *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* each tend to follow different types of adjectives more than other parts of speech. Given the predicted contexts in which one uses *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* – when seeking to describe or compare something – this finding makes sense. (The most frequent adjective collocates will be explored further in Tables 9 and 10.)

Top 30 Collocates (1R) of attribute, characteristic, and trait in COCA's Academic Journals

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	of (1,213)	of (9,068)	, (715)
2	. (509)	, (2,328)	. (622)
3	, (506)	<u> (2,015)</u>	of(621)
4	<i>and</i> (271)	<u>and</u> (1,577)	and (433)
5	<i>that</i> (199)	<u>that</u> (965)	<i>that</i> (432)
6	((189)	(940)	<i>in</i> (356)
7	<i>are</i> (131)	<u>are</u> (491)	anxiety (309)
8	<i>in</i> (114)	<u>in</u> (432)	((212)
9	to (82)	<u>were</u> (290)	<i>are</i> (184)
10	were (78)	<u>:</u> (286)	<u>is</u> (104)
11	<i>such</i> (68)	<u>such</u> (279)	to (89)
12	<i>is</i> (56)	for (236)	or (88)
13	or (56)	<u>as</u> (232)	<i>such</i> (87)
14	<i>as</i> (52)	<u>to</u> (223)	arousability (79)
15	: (51)	<u>is</u> (187)	: (76)
16	<i>for</i> (47)	<u>or</u> (181)) (73)
17) (38)	<u>with</u> (174)	<i>for</i> (70)
18	was (35)	<u>on</u> (171)	<i>as</i> (67)
19	<i>can</i> (34)	<u>associated</u> (161)	were (61)
20	; (32)) (111)	<i>may</i> (57)
21	which (26)	<u>may</u> (108)	<i>can</i> (56)
22	have (25)	; (101)	with (53)
23	" (22)	<u>"</u> (94)	values (49)
24	agenda (21)	<u>have</u> (91)	associated (47)
25	with (20)	<u>which</u> (90)	<i>was</i> (39)
26	<i>may</i> (19)	<u>can</u> (86)	like (38)
27	associated (18)	<u>by</u> (82)	; (37)
28	used (17)	<u>from</u> (77)	<i>from</i> (36)
29	on (16)	<u>was</u> (72)	anger (31)
30	<i>by</i> (15)	related (61)	dominance (30)

Note. Distinct collocates are in bold, while overlapping collocates occurring at different frequencies are both underlined and bolded in the column with the highest frequency.

As shown in Table 2, *attribute, characteristic*, and *trait* each tend to participate in *of*-phrases. This suggests that these target nouns are often associated with the concept or entity being described. It is worth noting this before looking at high frequency noun collocates in the right contexts (Tables 6, 8).

As shown in Tables 3 and 4, *attribute* tends to occur with action verbs (e.g., *assigned*), *characteristic* with communication verbs (e.g., *describe*), and *trait* with mental verbs (e.g., *coping*). This suggests a subtle difference in the real-world processes these nouns engage in respectively.

Tot	h 15	Verh	Collocates (fattribute	characteristic	and trait i	in the Le	ft Context	(1I)) in ACAD
1 01	115	1010	Conocues o	<i>aunduc</i> .	, unaraciunsuic.	unu trant i		I GOMENI	(IL)	/ m m m m

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	identifying (5)	<u>defining</u> (30)	yield (8)
2	<i>identify</i> (5)	<u>identify</u> (29)	contributing (6)
3	possess (3)	sample (23)	hatching (6)
4	correlated (3)	describe (17)	<i>identify</i> (6)
5	stressing (3)	<i>coaching</i> (14)	inherited (5)
6	contained (2)	setting (13)	share (5)
7	contain (2)	examined (12)	assess (4)
8	assigned (2)	<u>identifying</u> (12)	defining (4)
9	graduate (2)	learning (11)	measuring (4)
10	defining (2)	exhibit (10)	possessed (4)
11	desired (2)	need (10)	preweaning (4)
12	manifest (2)	predisposing (10)	involving (3)
13	emphasize (2)	housing (9)	include (3)
14	included (2)	<u>include</u> (9)	identifying (3)
15	manipulate (2)	describes (7)	controlled (3)

Note. Distinct collocates are in bold, while overlapping collocates occurring at different frequencies are both underlined and bolded in the column with the highest frequency.

Table 4				
Top 15 Verb Collocates of	attribute, characteristic	c, and trait in the Ra	ight Context (1R) in ACAD

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	associated (18)	<u>associated</u> (161)	associated (47)
2	related (13)	<u>related</u> (61)	related (17)
3	used (12)	<u>include</u> (53)	found (11)
4	include (9)	<u>included</u> (33)	measured (11)
5	needed (10)	<u>found</u> (29)	<i>coping</i> (10)
6	included (9)	<u>make</u> (26)	include (10)
7	identified (8)	<u>affect</u> (25)	affecting (8)
8	<u>considered</u> (7)	<i>identified</i> (25)	shared (8)
9	found (5)	influence (21)	using (7)
10	emphasized (5)	<i>listed</i> (21)	appear (6)
11	listed (5)	<u>needed</u> (18)	known (6)
12	affect (4)	<u>measured</u> (16)	tend (6)
13	based (4)	<u>shared</u> (16)	described (5)
14	make(4)	examined (15)	conferring (5)
15	presented (4)	<u>based</u> (13)	considered (5)

Top 15 Noun Collocates of attribute, characteristic, and trait in the Left Context (1L) in ACAD

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	<i>job</i> (26)	<u>student</u> (291)	personality (476)
2	personality (20)	personality (281)	character (173)
3	problem (20)	background (145)	<u>type</u> (91)
4	product (20)	<i>sample</i> (137)	<i>quality</i> (45)
5	parliament (15)	baseline (127)	leadership (34)
6	<i>college</i> (14)	participant (110)	growth (30)
7	student (13)	<u>teacher</u> (97)	<i>history</i> (25)
8	<i>cabinet</i> (12)	<i>family</i> (90)	temperament (23)
9	teacher(12)	school (73)	conformation (21)
10	quality (9)	<u>performance</u> (70)	production (18)
11	type(9)	<i>learner</i> (62)	resistance (16)
12	criteria (8)	population (61)	<i>idol</i> (13)
13	service (8)	job (59)	anxiety (12)
14	decision (6)	neighborhood (59)	depression (11)
15	candidate (6)	<i>child</i> (55)	performance (10)

Note. Distinct collocates are in bold, while overlapping collocates occurring at different frequencies are both underlined and bolded in the column with the highest frequency.

Table 6

Top 15 Noun Collocates of attribute, characteristic, and trait in the Right Context (1R) in ACAD

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	agenda (21)	<i>curves</i> (13)	anxiety (309)
2	questionnaire (12)	<i>table</i> (9)	arousability (79)
3	values (9)	questionnaire (9)	<u>values</u> (46)
4	levels (8)	curve (7)	anger (31)
5	weights (8)	inventory (6)	dominance (30)
6	extraction (6)	variables (5)	measures (23)
7	scores (6)	capital (4)	variation (22)
8	set (6)	survey (4)	heritability (21)
9	agenda-setting (6)	subjects (3)	engageability (20)
10	blocks (5)	respondents (3)	value (20)
11	aggregation (4)	ratings (3)	<i>loci</i> (18)
12	information (4)	music (3)	measure (18)
13	preferences (4)	model (3)	evolution (17)
14	variables (3)	parents (3)	hope (17)
15	selection (3)	constant (2)	sport (16)

As shown in Tables 5 and 6, *attribute* tends to occur with abstract nouns related to products of negotiation, such as standards/quality (e.g., *problem, preferences*), *characteristic* with concrete nouns related to demographics/species/property (e.g., *student, respondents*), and *trait* with abstract nouns related to cognition/behavior/genetics (e.g., *depression, anxiety*). This suggests the types of nouns these target words tend to describe: whether they are abstract vs. concrete, observable vs. latent, or living vs. non-living. For more information, an additional search for noun collocates was conducted in the left (6L) and right (6R) contexts.

Interestingly, as shown in Table 7 and 8, *characteristics* is a common collocate for nouns *attribute*, *characteristic*, and *trait*. Using concordancing, Example Set A provides more context on how the target nouns are used differently.

Example Set A: Concordance Lines of attribute and trait co-occurring with characteristic

- (1) ... father-school community is determined by a combination of family <u>characteristics</u> and program <u>attributes</u>... <ACAD: School of Psychology Review, 2005>
- (2) ...a combination of individual factors (e.g., personality <u>traits</u>), home/family <u>characteristics</u> (e.g., roles, responsibilities)... <ACAD: Journal of Instructional Psychology, 2008>

The first line starts to reveal a meaning difference between *characteristic* and *attribute*. In this case (Line 1), *characteristic* is defining a *family* (a concrete, living thing; more specifically, a human unit), whereas *attribute* is defining a *program* (an abstract, non-living thing). The second line also starts to reveal a meaning difference between *trait* and *characteristic*. Line 2 shows that *trait* tends to occur with abstract nouns related to cognition/behavior/genetics, whereas *characteristic* tends to occur with concrete nouns related to demographics/species/property. This reaffirms the generalization made based on Tables 5 and 6.

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	attributes (48)	<u>table</u> (1,016)	personality (506)
2	set (43)	<i>student</i> (464)	<i>character</i> (201)
3	<i>levels</i> (38)	<u>study</u> (345)	<i>selection</i> (118)
4	job (35)	personality (325)	<i>type</i> (101)
5	number (34)	<u>students</u> (314)	anxiety (78)
6	students (33)	<u>characteristics (246)</u>	<i>trait</i> (75)
7	table (31)	<i>school</i> (211)	quality (60)
8	characteristics (30)	sample (209)	relationship (55)
9	values (30)	data (198)	species (55)
10	personality (29)	results (197)	<i>traits</i> (55)
11	product (29)	<i>differences</i> (192)	<i>state</i> (54)
12	problem (27)	information (178)	variation (53)
13	study (27)	<i>family</i> (173)	leadership (52)
14	attribute (25)	background (171)	study (48)
15	<i>skills</i> (25)	<i>relationship</i> (171)	characteristics (46)

Table 7

To	6 .	15	Noun	Collo	cates of	attribute.	cha	aracteristic	, and	' trait <i>ii</i>	ı the	Left	Context	(6L) in	AC	AD
· · /		-	• • • • • • •						,					1 -	/		

Top 15 Noun Collocates of attribute, characteristic, and trait in the Left Context (6R) in ACAD

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	attributes (54)	<u>students</u> (511)	anxiety (387)
2	<i>levels</i> (43)	<u>table</u> (510)	arousability (85)
3	students (39)	children (294)	species (77)
4	table (36)	participants (270)	<u>values</u> (77)
5	type (34)	<i>study</i> (247)	<i>scale</i> (73)
6	characteristics (28)	characteristics (245)	<i>selection</i> (70)
7	data (26)	<i>sample</i> (239)	trait (68)
8	interest (26)	age (232)	<i>traits</i> (62)
9	model (25)	gender (217)	characteristics (50)
10	performance (25)	patients (190)	<i>scores</i> (49)
11	values (24)	group (173)	<i>dominance</i> (47)
12	agenda (23)	<i>school</i> (168)	individuals (46)
13	skills (23)	<i>student</i> (164)	measures (46)
14	level (22)	population (154)	students (45)
15	research (22)	groups (149)	fitness (44)

Note. Distinct collocates are in bold, while overlapping collocates occurring at different frequencies are both underlined and bolded in the column with the highest frequency.

 Table 9

 Top 15 Adjective Collocates of attribute, characteristic, and trait in the Left Context (1L) in ACAD

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	physical (117)	demographic (1,008)	<u>cultural</u> (89)
2	personal (97)	personal (351)	quantitative (67)
3	other (94)	physical (235)	<i>other</i> (63)
4	positive (77)	<u>other</u> (248)	personal (55)
5	<i>important</i> (57)	<i>individual</i> (216)	common (53)
6	individual (40)	<u>common</u> (206)	behavioral (49)
7	negative (40)	<u>unique</u> (203)	positive (49)
8	different (38)	<i>important</i> (170)	physical (47)
9	key (37)	sociodemographic (170)	<u>human</u> (43)
10	cultural (36)	<i>defining</i> (165)	phenotype (42)
11	<i>creative</i> (27)	<u>specific</u> (157)	certain (40)
12	human (26)	essential (136)	<u>different</u> (39)
13	specific (25)	<u>behavioral</u> (130)	psychological (36)
14	unique (25)	<u>certain</u> (123)	desirable (35)
15	certain (24)	similar (123)	life-history (35)

To	b 15 Adjective	Collocates o	fattribute.	characteristic	and trait in	the Right	Context (1)	R) in ACAD
10	p 10 IIujoonoo	Goulocailos o	attisates	, characteristic.	, and crait in	in in ingin	Goncont 11	c) in man

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	necessary (10)	<u>similar</u> (24)	anxious (15)
2	inherent (3)	<u>necessary</u> (19)	<i>cognitive</i> (10)
3	common~(2)	<u>common</u> (18)	typical (8)
4	essential (2)	<u>unique</u> (10)	verbal (7)
5	constant (2)	<u>typical</u> (10)	<i>somatic</i> (7)
6	unique (2)	relevant (8)	<u>involved</u> (6)
7	independent (1)	<u>important</u> (7)	<i>important</i> (5)
8	unaffected (1)	<i>indicative</i> (6)	<u>similar</u> (4)
9	specific (1)	<u>specific</u> (5)	young (3)
10	<i>similar</i> (1)	suitable (4)	common (3)
11	responsible (1)	<u>peculiar</u> (4)	<i>likely</i> (3)
12	physical (1)	pertinent (3)	distinctive (2)
13	organizational (1)	<u>essential</u> (3)	familiar (2)
14	central (1)	attributable (2)	essential (2)
15	involved (1)	critical (2)	peculiar (2)

Note. Distinct collocates are in bold, while overlapping collocates occurring at different frequencies are both underlined and bolded in the column with the highest frequency.

 Table 11

 Top 15 Adverb Collocates of attribute, characteristic, and trait in the Left Context (1L) in ACAD

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	how (2)	<u>how</u> (7)	both (6)
2	away (1)	<i>either</i> (2)	how (5)
3		on~(2)	on~(2)
4		yet (2)	highly (2)
5		unfortunately (1)	when (1)
6		together (1)	thus (1)
7		<i>simply</i> (1)	then (1)
8		rather(1)	recently (1)
9		perhaps (1)	perhaps (1)
10		out (1)	higher(1)
11		now (1)	hardly (1)
12		mainly (1)	example (1)
13		indeed (1)	
14		in (1)	
15		i.e. (1)	

#	attribute	characteristic	trait
1	also (5)	<u>also</u> (28)	also (8)
2	more (4)	<u>in</u> (19)	<i>in</i> (8)
3	when (3)	<u>often (</u> 15)	<i>most</i> (8)
4	often (3)	<u>as</u> (14)	often (7)
5	best (2)	<u>most</u> (13)	more (5)
6	at (2)	alone (10)	<u>usually</u> (3)
7	directly (2)	<u>more</u> (9)	traditionally (3)
8	<i>in</i> (2)	<u>commonly</u> (8)	<u>together</u> (3)
9	<i>most</i> (2)	frequently (6)	simultaneously (3)
10	only (2)	significantly (5)	frequently (3)
11	wholly (1)	differently (4)	<i>as</i> (3)
12	usually (1)	typically (4)	commonly (3)
13	traditionally (1)	traditionally (4)	already (2)
14	too (1)	that (3)	directly (2)
15	together (1)	strongly (3)	even (2)

 Table 12

 Top 15 Adverbs Collocates of attribute, characteristic, and trait in the Right Context (1R) in ACAD

Note. Distinct collocates are in bold, while overlapping collocates occurring at different frequencies are both underlined and bolded in the column with the highest frequency.

As shown in Tables 9 and 10, *attribute* tends to occur with evaluative adjectives (e.g., *positive, important*), *characteristic* with topical adjectives (e.g., *personal, physical*), and *trait* with relational adjectives (e.g., *cultural, life-history*). In addition, for *characteristic*, the high frequency of *defining* suggests that a *characteristic* is fundamental to the thing being described and helps distinguish it from other things that may otherwise share some similarities or traits.

As shown in Tables 11 and 12, *attribute* tends to occur with adverbs of degree (e.g., *most*), *characteristic* with adverbs of degree (e.g., *significantly*), and *trait* with adverbs of frequency (e.g., *often*). This suggests that *attribute* and *characteristic* are fundamental to the thing being described and helps distinguish it from other things that may otherwise share similarities or traits. On the other hand, *trait* seems to emphasize things that are commonly observable.

Next, using the COMPARE function in COCA, the the top 50 collocates of *attribute* ([attribute_NN]), *characteristic* ([characteristic]_NN), and *trait* ([trait]_NN) were analyzed in the left (3L) and right (3R) contexts in COCA's academic journals (see Figures 8-13). Based on this next search, the semantic preferences among *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* continue to emerge.

[attribute]_NN VS [characteristic]_NN 3L Top 50 Collocates using Compare (sorted by FREQ)

WORD 1	RD 1 (W1): ATTRIBUTE (0.38)						WORD 2 (W2): CHARACTERISTIC (2.66)						
	WORD	W1	W2	W1/W2	SCORE		WORD	W2		W2/W1	SCORE		
1	THE	4740	9610	0.5	1.3	1	THE	9610	4740	2.0	0.8		
2	OF	2044	4273	0.5	1.3	2	AND	4861	1190	4.1	1.5		
3	AND	1190	4861	0.2	0.7	3	OF	4273	2044	2.1	0.8		
4		1155	3325	0.3	0.9	4		3372	918	3.7	1.4		
5		918	3372	0.3	0.7	5		3325	1155	2.9	1.1		
6	AN	853	286	3.0	7.9	6	A	1899	774	2.5	0.9		
7	A	774	1899	0.4	1.1	7	то	1670	537	3.1	1.2		
8	то	537	1670	0.3	0.9	8	THESE	1320	535	2.5	0.9		
9	THESE	535	1320	0.4	1.1	9	WITH	1291	461	2.8	1.1		
10	15	469	669	0.7	19	10	DEMOGRAPHIC	1278	14	91.3	34.3		
11	WITH	461	1291	0.4	1.0	11	ON	1182	297	4.0	1.5		
12	FOR	376	541	0.7	1.8	12	THAT	977	351	2.8	1.0		
13	PHYSICAL	372	767	0.5	13	13)	878	150	5.9	2.2		
14	OTHER	369	856	0.4	1.1	14	OTHER	856	369	2.2	0.9		
15		354	616	0.4	15	15	PHYSICAL	767	372	2.3	0.8		
16	тиат	351	977	0.0	1.0	16	HAVE	719	249	2.0	1.1		
17	ALL	341	472	0.7	1.0	17	THEIP	703	2.72	3.1	1.1		
10	AC	241	565	0.7	1.5	10	Inc.	660	460	1.4	0.5		
10	15	341	668	0.5	1.0	10	15	668	334	2.0	0.5		
20	IN	210	660	0.5	1.3	20	IN	660	310	2.0	0.8		
20	0.0	207	642	0.5	1.3	20	0.0	640	207	2.1	0.0		
21	UK	307	042	0.5	1.3	21	OR .	042	307	2.1	0.8		
22	HAS	299	430	0.7	1.8	22	ARL	620	211	2.9	1.1		
23		297	1182	0.3	0.7	23	20117	010	304	1.7	0.7		
24	THIS	280	399	0.7	1.9	24	SOME	600	18/	3.2	1.2		
25	1F	256	БŰ	4.3	11.4	25	AS	565	341	1.7	0.6		
26	HAVL	249	/18	0.3	0.9	26	PERSONAL	548	170	3.2	1.2		
27	THEIR	228	703	0.3	0.9	27	FOR	541	370	1.4	0.5		
28	115	219	510	0.4	1.1	28	1	520	13	40.0	15.0		
29	BY	210	505	0.4	1.1	29	DEFINING	519	24	17.9	0.7		
30	HIS	212	124	1.7	4.5	30	115	510	219	2.3	0.9		
31	ARL	211	620	0.3	0.9	31	BY	505	216	2.3	0.9		
32	POSITIVE	198	101	2.0	5.2	32	MOSI	48.5	1/4	2.8	1.0		
.5.5	THOSE	198	3/4	0.5	1.4	33	ALL	4/2	341	1.4	0.5		
34	SOME	187	600	0.3	0.8	34	CERTAIN	462	78	5.9	2.2		
35	MUST	1/4	48.5	0.4	1.0	d5	ONL	452	153	3.0	1.1		
36	PERSONAL	170	548	0.3	0.8	36	UNIQUE	445	80	5.6	2.1		
37	IMPORTANT	168	357	0.5	1.3	37	HAS	436	299	1.5	0.5		
38	VALUE	166	19	8.7	23.3	38	STUDENT	426	19	22.4	8.4		
39	ONE	153	452	0.3	0.9	39	INDIVIDUAL	423	59	7.2	2.7		
40)	150	878	0.2	0.5	40	COMMON	415	59	7.0	2.6		
41	·	148	370	0.4	1.1	41	THIS	399	280	1.4	0.5		
42	DOM	144	0	288.0	766.5	42	1	391	105	3.7	1.4		
43	NAME	135	12	11.3	29.9	43	TABLE	381	13	29.3	11.0		
44	ELEMENT	134	3	44.7	118.9	44	THOSE	374	198	1.9	0.7		
45	CONTENT	134	21	6.4	17.0	45	PERSONALITY	373	37	10.1	3.8		
46	NOT	133	199	0.7	1.8	46	SPECIFIC	373	92	4.1	1.5		
47	MANY	130	319	0.4	1.1	47	1	370	148	2.5	0.9		
48	EACH	121	128	0.9	2.5	48	IMPORTANT	357	168	2.1	0.8		
49	ANY	119	186	0.6	1.7	49	DIFFERENT	341	101	3.4	1.3		
				0.5	4.4	50	CIMILAD	222	45	7.2	2.7		

[attribute]_NN VS [characteristic]_NN 3R Top 50 Collocates using Compare (sorted by FREQ)

WORD 1	DRD 1 (W1): ATTRIBUTE (0.38)						WORD 2 (W2): CHARACTERISTIC (2.66)					
	WORD	W1	W2	W1/W2	SCORE		WORD	W2	W 1	W2/W1	SCORE	
1	OF	2859	14491	0.2	0.5	1	OF	14491	2859	5.1	1.9	
2	THE	2486	6913	0.4	1.0	2	THE	6913	2486	2.8	1.0	
3		2435	5523	0.4	1.2	3		5523	2435	2.3	0.9	
4	-	2174	4532	0.5	1.3	4		4532	2174	2.1	0.8	
5	AND	1142	3523	0.3	0.9	5	AND	3523	1142	3.1	1.2	
6	IS	992	797	1.2	3.3	6	THAT	2431	796	3.1	1.1	
7	то	898	1521	0.6	1.6	7	A	1737	859	2.0	0.8	
8	A	859	1737	0.5	1.3	8	IN	1696	742	2.3	0.9	
9	THAT	796	2431	0.3	0.9	9	то	1521	898	1.7	0.6	
10	IN	742	1696	0.4	1.2	10	(1507	500	3.0	1.1	
11	ARE	558	1200	0.5	1.2	11	AS	1392	520	2.7	1.0	
12	AS	520	1392	0.4	1.0	12	ARE	1200	558	2.2	0.8	
13	1	500	1507	0.3	0.9	13	WITH	884	248	3.6	1.3	
14	BE	408	450	0.9	2.4	14	IS	797	992	0.8	0.3	
15	FOR	356	750	0.5	1.3	15	FOR	750	356	2.1	0.8	
16		323	719	0.4	1.2	16		748	243	3.1	12	
17	MUST	311	56	5.6	14.8	17	SUCH	736	222	33	12	
18	OR	299	484	0.6	16	18		719	323	2.2	0.8	
19	ON	298	397	0.8	2.0	19	WERE	547	163	3.4	1.3	
20	VALUE	278	17	16.4	43.5	20	OR	484	299	16	0.6	
21	WITH	2/18	884	0.3	0.7	21	TUIS	476	180	2.6	1.0	
22	AN	246	206	0.6	1.7	22	DE	450	40.9	1.1	0.4	
22		240	748	0.0	0.9	22	BV	430	180	23	0.4	
24	п	223	411	0.5	1.4	2.0	π	411	223	1.8	0.7	
25	sucu	222	736	0.3	0.9	2.4	TUED	300	107	3.7	1.4	
25	WUCH	222	730	0.5	4.5	20	ONL	399	20.9	1.7	0.5	
20	NOT	203	269	0.8	2.0	20	AN	396	230	1.5	0.5	
20	1	203	243	0.6	1.6	27	TUEY	269	11.4	3.3	1.0	
20	CAN	191	200	0.0	1.0	20	WHICH	361	209	17	0.6	
2.9	DV.	190	417	0.0	1.0	29	VHICH	301	209	1.7	0.6	
30	тыс	180	417	0.4	1.0	30	TUPSE	343	55	6.2	2.3	
22	inis	171	470	2.4	6.2	22	HAVE	244	161	0.2	2.5	
32	1P	171	72	2.4	0.5	32	FROM	341	05	2.1	4.5	
- 33	SLI	1/0	30	4.7	0.0	33	STUDENTS	332	30	3.5	1.3	
34	WERE	163	247	0.3	1.2	34	ASSOCIATED	321	47	6.4	4.3	
35	HAVE	101	341	0.5	1.3	33	ASSOCIATED	299	47	0.4	2.4	
06	HAS	159	124	1.3	3.4	30	CAN	299	181	1.7	0.0	
3/	<u> </u>	14/	2/0	0.5	1.4	3/	WL	295	107	2.8	1.0	
86	n n	145	244	0.6	1.6	- 38	INI/LY IC	293	127	1.3	0.9	
39	BOI	141	234	0.0	1.0	39	3	2/0	147	1.0	0.7	
40	100	128	192	U./	1.7	40	NUT I	209	203	1.3	0.5	
41	MAY	127	293	0.4	1.2	41	1	244	143	1./	0.6	
42	GOD	123	23	5.3	14.2	42	EACH	2.95	64	3.7	1.4	
43	SPECIFIED DESCENT	122	8	15.3	40.6	43	801	2.54	141	1./	0.6	
44	PRESENT	120	60	2.0	5.3	44	MAKE	230	00	3.5	1.3	
45	USED	120	95	1.3	3.4	45	ALL	222	/6	2.9	1.1	
46	1	117	196	0.6	1.6	46	THOSE	217	41	5.3	2.0	
47	THEY	114	886	0.3	0.8	47	W/S	214	111	1.9	0.7	
48	WILL	113	135	0.8	2.2	48	1	203	68	3.0	1.1	
49	WAS	111	214	0.5	1.4	49	CHILDREN	198	18	11.0	4.1	
50	RETURN	108	1	108.0	287.4	50	PARTICIPANTS	197	3	65.7	24.7	

As shown in Figures 8 and 9, *attribute* continues to show a strong preference for standards/quality (e.g., *value*), whereas *characteristic* continues to show a strong preference for demographics/species/property (e.g., *demographic*).

[attribute]_NN VS [tr	trait]_NN 3L Top 50 (Collocates using Con	mpare (sorted by FREQ)
-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	------------------------

WORD 1	NORD 1 (W1): ATTRIBUTE (0.77) WORD 2 (W2): TRAIT (1.30)										
	WORD	W1	W2	W1/W2	SCORE		WORD	W2	W1	W2/W1	SCORE
1	THE	4740	3423	1.4	1.8	1	THE	3423	4740	0.7	0.6
2	OF	2044	2612	0.8	1.0	2	OF	2612	2044	1.3	1.0
з	AND	1190	1496	0.8	1.0	з	A	2347	774	3.0	2.3
4	1	1155	1634	0.7	0.9	4	· ·	1634	1155	1.4	1.1
5		918	1134	0.8	1.1	5	AND	1496	1190	1.3	1.0
6	AN	853	328	2.6	3.4	6	PERSONALITY	1170	37	31.6	24.3
7	A	774	2347	0.3	0.4	7		1134	918	1.2	0.9
8	то	537	696	0.8	1.0	8	THESE	790	535	1.5	1.1
9	THESE	535	790	0.7	0.9	9	THAT	742	351	2.1	1.6
10	'S	469	446	1.1	1.4	10	FOR	704	376	1.9	1.4
11	WITH	461	635	0.7	0.9	11	то	696	537	1.3	1.0
12	FOR	376	704	0.5	0.7	12	WITH	635	461	1.4	1.1
13	PHYSICAL	372	235	1.6	2.1	13	CHARACTER	577	22	26.2	20.2
14	OTHER	369	446	0.8	1.1	14	IS	468	334	1.4	1.1
15	*	354	461	0.8	1.0	15	*	461	354	1.3	1.0
16	THAT	351	742	0.5	0.6	16	OTHER	446	369	1.2	0.9
17	AS	341	296	1.2	1.5	17	'S	446	469	1.0	0.7
18	ALL	341	311	1.1	1.4	18	THIS	427	280	1.5	1.2
19	IS	334	468	0.7	0.9	19	ON	420	297	1.4	1.1
20	IN	319	340	0.9	1.2	20	THOSE	399	198	2.0	1.5
21	OR	307	332	0.9	1.2	21	IN	340	319	1.1	0.8
22	HAS	299	138	2.2	2.8	22	ARE	337	211	1.6	1.2
23	ON	297	420	0.7	0.9	23	OR	332	307	1.1	0.8
24	THIS	280	427	0.7	0.9	24	AN	328	853	0.4	0.3
25	IF	256	61	4.2	5.5	25	SOME	326	187	1.7	1.3
26	HAVE	249	326	0.8	1.0	26	HAVE	326	249	1.3	1.0
27	THEIR	228	241	0.9	1.2	27	ALL	311	341	0.9	0.7
28	ITS	219	74	3.0	3.9	28	AS	296	341	0.9	0.7
29	BY	216	163	1.3	1.7	29	ONE	284	153	1.9	1.4
30	HIS	212	149	1.4	1.9	30	HUMAN	258	83	3.1	2.4
31	ARE	211	337	0.6	0.8	31	COMMON	248	59	4.2	3.2
32	POSITIVE	198	197	1.0	1.3	32	MOST	248	174	1.4	1.1
33	THOSE	198	399	0.5	0.6	33)	247	150	1.6	1.3
34	SOME	187	326	0.6	0.7	34	THEIR	241	228	1.1	0.8
35	MOST	174	248	0.7	0.9	35	PHYSICAL	235	3/2	0.6	0.5
.36	PERSONAL	1/0	126	1.3	1.8	0E	CERTAIN	2.52	78	3.0	2.3
37	IMPORTANT	168	1.36	1.2	1.6	37	MANY	212	130	1.6	1.3
38	ONE	166	31	0.5	7.0	38	CENETIC	197	198	1.0	0.8
39	UNE .	103	204	0.5	0.0	40	SUCH	190	445	4.6	40.7
40	1	140	247	0.0	0.0	40	SUCH	103	02	1.0	1.3
41	DOM	140	37	1.0	2.0	41	NOT	102	133	1.3	1.0
42	NAME	125	6	208.0	20.3	42	RV	163	216	0.8	0.6
4.5	FLEMENT	134	1	134.0	174.3	43	CULTURAL	157	59	2.7	2.0
45	CONTENT	134	2	67.0	87.2	45	1	155	66	23	1.8
46	NOT	122	179	0.7	10	45	SHARE	151	38	4.0	3.1
47	MANY	130	212	0.6	0.8	47	ANY	149	119	1.3	1.0
48	EACH	121	88	1.4	1.8	48	HIS	149	212	0.7	0.5
49	ANY	119	149	0.8	1.0	49	BETWEEN	146	67	2.2	1.7
50	KEY	115	72	1.6	2.1	50	MORE	146	81	1.8	1.4

[attribute]_NN VS [trait]	_NN 3R Top 50	Collocates using (Compare (s	sorted by FREQ
---------------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------	----------------

WORD 1	D 1 (W1): ATTRIBUTE (0.77)						WORD 2 (W2): TRAIT (1.30)					
	WORD	W1	W2	W1/W2	SCORE		WORD	W2	W1	W2/W1	SCORE	
1	OF	2859	2021	1.4	1.8	1		3136	2435	1.3	1.0	
2	THE	2486	1849	1.3	1.7	2		2928	2174	1.3	1.0	
3		2435	3136	0.8	10	3	OF	2021	2859	0.7	0.5	
4		2174	2928	0.7	10	-	тнат	1995	796	7.4	1.8	
		1145	1721	0.7	0.9	-	тис	1949	7.00	0.7	0.6	
6	AND IS	000	715	0.7	1.0	6		1045	1140	1.5	1.2	
7	TO	000	1042	0.9	1.0	7	IN	1751	742	1.0	1.4	
,	4	050	076	0.5	1.1	· ·	TO	1042	000	1.0	0.9	
•	~	007	0/0	1.0	1.5	°	10	1045	070	1.2	0.9	
9	THAT	/96	1885	0.4	0.5	9	A	8/6	859	1.0	0.8	
10	IN	742	1360	0.5	0.7	10	ARE	854	558	1.5	1.2	
11	ARE	558	854	0.7	0.9	11	AS	/1/	520	1.4	1.1	
12	AS	520	717	0.7	0.9	12	IS	715	992	0.7	0.6	
13	(500	625	0.8	1.0	13	(625	500	1.3	1.0	
14	BE	408	391	1.0	1.4	14	FOR	475	356	1.3	1.0	
15	FOR	356	475	0.7	1.0	15	*	440	323	1.4	1.0	
16		323	440	0.7	1.0	16	WITH	438	248	1.8	1.4	
17	MUST	B11	26	12.0	15.6	17	:	393	243	1.6	1.2	
18	OR	299	334	0.9	1.2	18	BE	391	408	1.0	0.7	
19	ON	298	230	1.3	1.7	19	ANXIETY	366	0	732.0	562.7	
20	VALUE	278	56	5.0	6.5	20	SUCH	335	222	1.5	1.2	
21	WITH	248	438	0.6	0.7	21	OR	334	299	1.1	0.9	
22	AN	246	158	1.6	2.0	22	1	323	143	2.3	1.7	
23	:	243	393	0.6	0.8	23	FROM	295	95	3.1	2.4	
24	П	223	264	0.8	1.1	24	HAVE	292	161	1.8	1.4	
25	SUCH	222	335	0.7	0.9	25	THEY	289	114	2.5	1.9	
26	WHICH	209	222	0.9	1.2	26	YOU	289	128	2.3	1.7	
27	NOT	203	250	0.8	1.1	27	CAN	276	181	1.5	1.2	
28)	201	225	0.9	1.2	28	IT	264	223	1.2	0.9	
29	CAN	181	276	0.7	0.9	29	NOT	250	203	1.2	0.9	
30	THIS	180	165	1.1	1.4	30	BY	247	180	1.4	1.1	
31	BY	180	247	0.7	0.9	31	WE	237	107	2.2	1.7	
32	IF	171	98	1.7	2.3	32	ON	230	298	0.8	0.6	
33	SET	170	25	6.8	8.8	33	BUT	229	141	1.6	1.2	
34	WERE	163	221	0.7	1.0	34)	225	201	1.1	0.9	
35	HAVE	161	292	0.6	0.7	35	HE	222	65	3.4	2.6	
36	HAS	159	173	0.9	1.2	36	WHICH	222	209	1.1	0.8	
37	'S	147	165	0.9	1.2	37	WERE	221	163	1.4	1.0	
38	1	143	323	0.4	0.6	38	WAS	205	111	1.8	1.4	
39	BUT	141	229	0.6	0.8	39	?	204	68	3.0	2.3	
40	YOU	128	289	0.4	0.6	40	LIKE	198	68	2.9	2.2	
41	MAY	127	184	0.7	0.9	41	THEIR	187	107	1.7	1.3	
42	GOD	123	9	13.7	17.8	42	MAY	184	127	1.4	1.1	
43	SPECIFIED	122	з	40.7	52.9	43	HAS	173	159	1.1	0.8	
44	PRESENT	120	30	4.0	5.2	44	'S	165	147	1.1	0.9	
45	USED	120	33	3.6	4.7	45	THIS	165	180	0.9	0.7	
46	1	117	112	1.0	1.4	46	AN	158	246	0.6	0.5	
47	THEY	114	289	0.4	0.5	47	ONE	149	75	2.0	1.5	
48	WILL	113	149	0.8	1.0	48	WILL	149	113	1.3	1.0	
49	WAS	111	205	0.5	0.7	49	WOULD	144	63	2.3	1.8	
50	RETURN	108	0	216.0	281.0	50	ASSOCIATED	133	47	2.8	2.2	
-		-		-				-				

As shown in Figures 10 and 11, *attribute* continues to show a strong preference for standards/quality (e.g., *must, return*), whereas *trait* continues to show a strong preference for cognition/behavior/genetics (e.g., *genetic, anxiety*).

Figure 12 [characteristic]_NN VS [trait]_NN 3L Top 50 Collocates using Compare (sorted by FREQ)

WORD 1	(W1): CHARACTERISTIC (2.05)		WORD 2 (W2): TRAIT (0.49)								
	WORD	W1		W1/W2	SCORE		WORD			W2/W1	SCORE
1	THE	9610	3423	2.8	1.4	1	THE	3423	9610	0.4	0.7
2	AND	4861	1496	3.2	1.6	2	OF	2612	4273	0.6	1.3
3	OF	4273	2612	1.6	0.8	з	A	2347	1899	1.2	2.5
4		3372	1134	3.0	1.5	4		1634	3325	0.5	1.0
5	-	3325	1634	2.0	1.0	5	AND	1496	4861	0.3	0.6
6	A	1899	2347	0.8	0.4	6	PERSONALITY	1170	373	31	6.4
7	то	1670	696	2.4	1.2	7		1134	3372	0.3	0.7
8	THESE	1320	790	17	0.8	8	THESE	790	1320	0.6	12
9	WITH	1291	635	2.0	1.0	0	тнат	742	977	0.8	1.6
10	DEMOGRAPHIC	1270	24	60.0	20.7	10	FOR	704	5.44	1.2	2.7
11	ON	11.00	420	2.0	1.4	11	TO	606	1670	0.4	2.7
42	THAT	077	420	2.0	0.4	42	10	690	1070	0.4	0.9
12	THAT	977	742	1.3	0.6	12	WITH	035	1291	0.5	1.0
13	1	878	247	.5.0	1.7	13	CHARACTER	577	0	96.2	196.7
14	OTHER	856	446	1.9	0.9	14	IS	468	668	0.7	1.4
15	PHYSICAL	767	235	3.3	1.6	15	*	461	616	0.7	1.5
16	HAVE	718	326	2.2	1.1	16	'S	446	669	0.7	1.4
17	THEIR	703	241	2.9	1.4	17	OTHER	446	856	0.5	1.1
18	'S	669	446	1.5	0.7	18	THIS	427	399	1.1	2.2
19	IS	668	468	1.4	0.7	19	ON	420	1182	0.4	0.7
20	IN	660	340	1.9	0.9	20	THOSE	399	374	1.1	2.2
21	OR	642	332	1.9	0.9	21	IN	340	660	0.5	1.1
22	ARE	620	337	1.8	0.9	22	ARE	337	620	0.5	1.1
23		616	461	1.3	0.7	23	OR	332	642	0.5	1.1
24	SOME	600	326	1.8	0.9	24	AN	328	286	1.1	2.3
25	AS	565	296	1.9	0.9	25	SOME	326	600	0.5	1.1
26	PERSONAL	548	126	4.3	2.1	26	HAVE	326	718	0.5	0.9
27	FOR	541	704	0.8	0.4	27	ALL	311	472	0.7	1.3
28	1	520	5	104.0	50.8	28	AS	296	565	0.5	1.1
29	DEFINING	519	82	6.3	3.1	29	ONE	284	452	0.6	1.3
30	ITS	510	74	6.9	3.4	30	HUMAN	258	176	1.5	3.0
31	BY	505	163	3.1	1.5	31	COMMON	248	415	0.6	1.2
32	MOST	483	248	1.9	1.0	32	MOST	248	483	0.5	1.1
33	ALL	472	311	1.5	0.7	33)	247	878	0.3	0.6
34	CERTAIN	462	232	2.0	10	34	THEIR	241	703	03	0.7
35	ONE	452	284	1.6	0.8	35	PHYSICAL	235	767	0.3	0.6
36	UNIQUE	445	80	5.6	2.7	36	CERTAIN	232	462	0.5	1.0
37	A	436	138	3.2	15	37	MANY	212	319	0.7	1.4
38	STUDENT	426	2	142.0	69.4	38	POSITIVE	197	101	2.0	4.0
20		421	94	4.5	22	30	GENETIC	190	70	2.0	4.0
40	COMMON	415	248	17	0.8	40	SUCH	190	221	0.0	17
40	THIC	200	437	0.0	0.5	40	SAME	100	272	0.7	4.4
41		201	427	2.0	1.0	41	NOT	102	100	0.7	1.4
42	-	391	99	3.9	1.9	42	NUT	1/9	199	0.9	1.8
43	THOSE	381	200	381.0	186.2	43		10.3	505	0.3	0.7
44	THUSE	374	399	0.9	0.5	44	CULTURAL	157	161	1.0	2.0
45	SPECIFIC	373	130	2.9	1.4	45	(155	204	0.8	1.6
46	PERSONALITY	373	1170	0.3	0.2	46	SHARE	151	303	0.5	1.0
47	'	370	97	3.8	1.9	47	HIS	149	124	1.2	2.5
48	IMPORTANT	357	136	2.6	1.3	48	ANY	149	186	0.8	1.6
49	DIFFERENT	341	136	2.5	1.2	49	MORE	146	210	0.7	1.4
50	SIMILAR	323	82	3.9	1.9	50	BETWEEN	146	280	0.5	1.1

WORD I	(WT): CHARACTERISTIC (2.05)					WORD 2	(WZ): TRAIT (0.49)				
	WORD	W1	W2	W1/W2	SCORE		WORD	W2	W1	W2/W1	SCORE
1	OF	14491	2021	7.2	3.5	1		3136	5523	0.6	1.2
2	THE	6912	1849	3.7	1.8	2		2928	4532	0.6	1.3
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5523	3136	1.8	0.9	3	OF	2021	14491	0.1	0.3
4		4532	2928	1.5	0.8	4	THAT	1885	2431	0.8	1.6
5	AND	3523	1731	2.0	1.0	5	THE	1849	6912	0.3	0.5
6	THAT	2431	1885	1.3	0.6	6	AND	1731	3523	0.5	1.0
7	A	1737	876	2.0	1.0	7	IN	1360	1696	0.8	1.6
8	IN	1696	1360	1.2	0.6	8	то	1043	1521	0.7	1.4
9	то	1521	1043	1.5	0.7	9	٨	876	1737	0.5	1.0
10	(1507	625	2.4	1.2	10	ARE	854	1200	0.7	1.5
11	AS	1392	717	1.9	0.9	11	AS	717	1392	0.5	1.1
12	ARE	1200	854	1.4	0.7	12	IS	715	797	0.9	1.8
13	WITH	884	438	2.0	1.0	13	(625	1507	0.4	0.8
14	IS	797	715	1.1	0.5	14	FOR	475	750	0.6	1.3
15	FOR	750	475	1.6	0.8	15		440	719	0.6	1.3
16	1	748	393	1.9	0.9	16	WITH	438	884	0.5	1.0
17	SUCH	736	335	2.2	1.1	17	:	393	748	0.5	1.1
18		719	440	1.6	0.8	18	BC	391	450	0.9	1.8
19	WERE	547	221	2.5	1.2	19	ANXIETY	366	7	52.3	107.0
20	OR	484	334	1.4	0.7	20	SUCH	335	736	0.5	0.9
21	THIS	476	165	2.9	1.4	21	OR	334	484	0.7	1.4
22	BE	450	391	1.2	0.6	22	1	323	244	1.3	2.7
23	BY	417	247	1.7	0.8	23	FROM	295	332	0.9	1.8
24	п	411	264	1.6	0.8	24	HAVE	292	341	0.9	1.8
25	THEIR	399	187	2.1	1.0	25	YOU	289	195	1.5	3.0
26	ON	397	230	1.7	0.8	26	THEY	289	368	0.8	1.6
27	AN	396	158	2.5	1.2	27	CAN	276	299	0.9	1.9
28	THEY	368	289	1.3	0.6	28	IT	264	411	0.6	1.3
29	WHICH	361	222	1.6	0.8	29	NOT	250	269	0.9	1.9
30)	343	225	1.5	0.7	30	BY	247	417	0.6	1.2
31	THESE	342	71	4.8	2.4	31	WE	237	295	0.8	1.6
32	HAVE	341	292	1.2	0.6	32	ON	230	397	0.6	1.2
33	FROM	332	295	1.1	0.6	33	BUT	229	234	1.0	2.0
34	STUDENTS	321	26	12.3	6.0	34)	225	343	0.7	1.3
35	ASSOCIATED	299	133	2.2	1.1	35	HE	222	118	1.9	3.8
36	CAN	299	276	1.1	0.5	36	WHICH	222	361	0.6	1.3
37	WE	295	237	1.2	0.6	37	WERE	221	547	0.4	0.8
38	MAY	293	184	1.6	0.8	38	WAS	205	214	1.0	2.0
39	'S	270	165	1.6	0.8	39	?	204	203	1.0	2.1
40	NOT	269	250	1.1	0.5	40	LIKE	198	140	1.4	2.9
41	1	244	323	0.8	0.4	41	THEIR	187	399	0.5	1.0
42	EACH	236	63	3.7	1.8	42	MAY	184	293	0.6	1.3
43	BUT	234	229	1.0	0.5	43	HAS	173	124	1.4	2.9
44	MAKE	230	107	2.1	1.1	44	'S	165	270	0.6	1.3
45	ALL	222	123	1.8	0.9	45	THIS	165	476	0.3	0.7
46	THOSE	217	81	2.7	1.3	46	AN	158	396	0.4	0.8
47	WAS	214	205	1.0	0.5	47	WILL	149	135	1.1	2.3
48	?	203	204	1.0	0.5	48	ONE	149	175	0.9	1.7
49	CHILDREN	198	33	6.0	2.9	49	WOULD	144	183	0.8	1.6
5.0	DADTICIDANITS	107	0	21.0	10.7	50	ASSOCIATED	133	200	0.4	0.0

[characteristic]_NN VS [trait]_NN 3R Top 50 Collocates using Compare (sorted by FREQ) WORD 1 (W1): CHARACTERISTIC (2.05) WORD 2 (W2): TRAIT (0.49)

As shown in Figures 12 and 13, *characteristic* continues to show a strong preference for demographics/species/property (e.g., *demographic, participants*), whereas *trait* continues to show a strong

preference for cognition/behavior/genetics (e.g., *character*, *anxiety*). While traits are inherited, characteristics can be acquired or changed.

In summary, it seems that *attribute* tends to occur with action verbs (e.g., *assigned*), abstract nouns related to standards/quality (e.g., *value*), evaluative adjectives (e.g., *important*), and adverbs of degree (e.g., *most*). *Characteristic* tends to occur with communication verbs (e.g., *describe*), concrete nouns related to demographics/species/property (e.g., *student*), topical adjectives (e.g., *physical*), and adverbs of degree (e.g., *significantly*). *Trait* tends to occur with mental verbs (e.g., *coping*), abstract nouns related to cognition/behavior/genetics (e.g., *anxiety*), relational adjectives (e.g., *life-history*), and adverbs of frequency (e.g., *often*). Lastly, *traits* tend to describe things that are inherited, whereas *characteristics* can describe things that are acquired.

To illustrate, consider the following examples for their semantic preferences:

Example Set 1: Concordance Lines of attribute

- (1) These <u>attributes</u> were <u>identified</u> by a panel of experts composed of recognized members of the art education community. <ACAD: Arts Education Policy Review, 1996>
- (2) The beliefs about the nature of power, and the <u>values</u> and <u>attributes</u> associated with warriors and hunters... <ACAD: Africa Today, 1994>
- (3) What are the <u>important attributes</u> that you consider while buying an apartment? <ACAD: The Journal of Real Estate Research, 2016>
- (4) In both classes, the enjoyability of classes ranks as one of the <u>most</u> important <u>attributes</u>... <ACAD: Economic Inquiry, 2018>

Example Set 2: Concordance Lines of characteristic

- (1) *However, participant <u>characteristics</u> are <u>reported</u> separately for lead teachers and teaching assistants. <ACAD: Language, Speech & Hearing Services in Schools, 2014>*
- (2) The most typical <u>student characteristics</u> indicated a U.S.-born (65.7%) female (51.5%) majoring in accounting (29.8%) who started college at this university (52.2%) and who was working part-time (63.8%). <ACAD: Academy of Business Research Journal, 2016>
- (3) Currently, the <u>demographic characteristics</u> of tattooed persons show wide variations in gender, age, social class, race, political party and occupation. <ACAD: College Student Journal, 2015>
- (4) <u>However</u>, these <u>characteristics</u> are disproportionately found in the lower socioeconomic, high-crime, older neighborhoods that racial minorities often call home. <ACAD: Vanderbilt Law Review, 2017>

Example Set 3: Concordance Lines of trait

- (1) Because each human's genes are unique, they are a personal map for that person's biological past and future the <u>traits inherited</u> from parents and the ones to be passed on to children. <ACAD: ABA Journal, 1998>
- (2) ... for generalized anxiety disorder or panic attack for <u>anxiety traits</u>, and for major depressive disorder for <u>depression traits</u>. <ACAD: Journal of Sport Behavior, 2000>
- (3) Unusual <u>behavioral traits</u> allow the fire ant to form large colonies and to dominate the territories it invades. <ACAD: Bioscience, 1994>
- (4) <u>Traits</u> can be thought of as falling along a continuum that ranges from <u>traits</u> considered by many to be desirable (e.g., happy, self-confident, considerate) to <u>traits</u> that are <u>often</u> considered to be undesirable (e.g., aggressive, spiteful, crude). <ACAD: Journal of Instructional Psychology, 1999>

Furthermore, a Google search using the common construction (noun + of-phrase) with attribute, characteristic, and trait reveals similar semantic preferences based on the search engine's predicted text (see Figure 14).

Figure 14

Google Search Prompts with attribute of, characteristic of, and trait of

attribute of	characteristic of	trait of
 attribute of a class attribute of parallel hybrid technology attribute of an object 	 characteristic of a leader characteristic of life 	 c trait of a narcissist c trait of a person
 attribute of love attribute of shapes 	 characteristic of living things characteristics of arthropods 	 trait of a leader trait of character
Q attribute of udp Q attribute of god	 Characteristics of golden retrievers Characteristics of fungi 	Q trait of autism
	Characteristic of civilization characteristic of metals characteristic of an organic compound	Q trait of a taurus

Research Question 3: What are the differences in the semantic prosody of nouns *attribute*, *characteristic*, and *trait* in Academic English?

Using the COLLOCATES and COMPARE functions in COCA, the semantic prosody of *attribute* ([attribute_NN]), *characteristic* ([characteristic]_NN), and *trait* ([trait]_NN) was determined. To summarize the findings, consider the following examples for their semantic prosody:

Example Set 4: Concordance Lines of attribute, characteristic, and trait

- (1) Security is an important <u>attribute</u> of any good or service...
- (2) The most typical student characteristics indicated a U.S.-born (65.7%) female (51.5%)...
- (3) In fact, risk-taking is one personality <u>trait</u> that is most of the time connected with entrepreneurs.

For *attribute* (Line 1), the noun often occurs in a context with positive meaning and an authoritative tone. For *characteristic* (Line 2), the noun often occurs in a context with neutral meaning and an informative tone. Lastly, for *trait* (Line 3), the noun often occurs in a context with neutral meaning and an authoritative tone. One other interesting feature of *attribute* is its tendency to describe things that are non-living (Line 1), whereas *trait* tends to describe things that are living (Line 3). This semantic restriction is similar to Room's (1985) explanation of a *wood* and *forest* and could potentially impact lexical choice and L1 transfer in translation (Hirst, 1995). When looking at the closest translation of *trait* in Japanese, 特性 ($\geq \langle t \rangle$), *tokusei*), a quick survey from my Japanese students revealed that it is also primarily used to describe living things. In that case, the cross-linguistic plesionym group *attribute, trait*, and *tokusei* may be a non-issue for Japanese learners of English.

Application

Target Population

The target students for this application are adults (from over 70 countries) studying Academic English (AE) at university. They are currently enrolled in an advanced level (C1) writing course. Students can successfully discuss in detail issues related to success, including building a motivated team, and understand various communication styles (or registers). By the end of the term, the students' goal is to move up to C2, which enables students to explore science and technology and promotes creativity in writing. These themes and goals are highlighted by the materials in this section of the paper. According to the Common European Framework's (2015) English Vocabulary Profile Online - American English, the noun *attribute* is a C2 level word, *characteristic* is B2, and *trait* is C2. Although two of the three target nouns are technically above the students' level, the goal is to move them from C1 to C2 by the end of the term, so it is acceptable to start introducing all three target nouns to students.

Materials

The following set of materials designed for one corpus-informed lesson that follows the Illustration-Induction-Interaction (I-I-I) cycle. First, Figure 15 displays a sample handout, representative of the Illustration and Induction steps.

Figure 15 Handout 1: Illustration and Induction

Instructions: In this task, <u>examine</u> the following concordance lines with *attribute (n.), characteristic (n.)*, and *trait (n.)* from COCA's Academic register and answer questions about them. What patterns do you see in the use of *attribute (n.), characteristic (n.)*, and *trait (n.)*? Some guiding questions have been provided to help you guess the patterns. You may work with a partner or in a small group.

- 1. What are the important <u>attributes</u> that you consider while buying an apartment? <ACAD: The Journal of Real Estate Research, 2016>
- 2. Security is an important <u>attribute</u> of any good or service... <ACAD: Energy Journal, 2000>
- 3. ...there was strong agreement across respondent groups on which two <u>attributes</u> (Usability and Performance/Audit Trail) were considered the most important... <ACAD: Journal of Information Systems, 1991>
- 4. Senge (1990) contends that a critical <u>attribute</u> to leadership is the ability to bring about a shared picture of the future. <ACAD: Education, 1992>
- 5. Our closeness to patiences and the intelligence this provides is an important <u>attribute</u> in assessing health needs, and making informed decisions about the development of new services or improving existing ones. <ACAD: Practice Nurse, 2011>
- 6. The most typical student <u>characteristics</u> indicated a U.S.-born (65.7%) female (51.5%) majoring in accounting (29.8%) who started college at this university (52.2%) and who was working part-time (63.8%). <ACAD: Academy of Business Research Journal, 2016>
- 7. ...the result of the physical and chemical properties of a particular element and the biological <u>characteristics</u> of the exposed tissue. <ACAD: Natural History, 1990>
- 8. *Two demographic <u>characteristics</u>*, parental absence and socioeconomic status (SES), were... <ACAD: School Psychology Review, 1990>
- 9. The results are listed as percentages, showing the percent of teachers who identified a specific <u>characteristic</u> as being associated with the potential school dropout (see chart B). <ACAD: Education, 1990>
- 10. Currently, the demographic <u>characteristics</u> of tattooed persons show wide variations in gender, age, social class, race, political party and occupation. <ACAD: College Student Journal, 2015>
- 11. Optimism is the <u>trait</u> that keeps him confident and motivated in the pursuit of the desired goal. <ACAD: Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal, 2019>
- 12. *What can you do to develop innovative thinking <u>traits</u>? <ACAD: Technology & Engineering Teacher, 2012>*
- 13. Unusual behavioral <u>traits</u> allow the fire ant to form large colonies and to dominate the territories it invades. <ACAD: Bioscience, 1994>
- 14. Possession of a sense of humor at any age is a socially desirable <u>trait</u> and children who possess it are more likely to be attractive to others. <ACAD: Roeper Review, 1991>
- 15. These behavioral <u>traits</u> predict later engagement in substance abuse, violence, criminal behavior, and poor post-school outcomes that adversely impact the student's future, their family and their community. <ACAD: Education & Treatment of Children, 2014>

Guiding Questions: A. What are the most frequent adjectives that occur before or after *attribute* (n.)? a. What types of adjectives are they? i.descriptive vs. evaluative ii.positive vs. neutral iii.observable vs. latent iv.other B. What nouns occur after *attribute (n.)*? a. What types of nouns are they? i.abstract vs. concrete ii.observable vs. latent iii.living vs. non-living iv.other C. What are the most frequent adjectives that occur before or after *characteristic (n.)*? a. What types of adjectives are they? i.descriptive vs. evaluative ii.positive vs. neutral iii.observable vs. latent iv.other D. What nouns occur after *characteristic* (n.)? a. What types of nouns are they? i.abstract vs. concrete ii.observable vs. latent iii.living vs. non-living iv.other E. What are the most frequent adjectives that occur before *trait (n.)*? a. What types of adjectives are they? i.descriptive vs. evaluative ii.positive vs. neutral iii.observable vs. latent iv.other F. What nouns occur after *trait (n.)*? a. What types of nouns are they? i.abstract vs. concrete ii.observable vs. latent iii.living vs. non-living iv.other G. What else do you notice about the use of attribute (n.), characteristic (n.), and trait (n.)? Based on the data, what questions do you have about their use?

Note. Adapted from Green (2019).

The structure of this handout was adapted from Green (2019) and contains a minimum of five concordance lines per target noun (*attribute, characteristic*, and *trait*) alongside guided questions with varying degrees of control. As students progress through the questions, the number of acceptable answers or interpretations is not limited to one. However, given the limited data set, the teacher can anticipate what types of responses students will give and continue guiding them through the generalization process by pointing to corpus data (e.g., the specific concordance line or number). This type of structure helps introduce students to the I-I-I cycle and to begin learning how to approach corpus data in general.

Next, Figure 16 displays a sample handout to promote Interaction with the target nouns and corpus tools. The structure of this handout was adapted from Nelson's (2006) Business English teaching materials based on semantic associations. In this case, students are asked to categorize the collocates of *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* into the meaning groups (semantic categories) provided. As students deduce which collocate to match with each meaning group, the teacher can encourage them to use COCA's LIST, COLLOCATE, and COMPARE functions to confirm, refute, or refine their language hypotheses (Timmis, 2015).

Last but not least, Figure 17 displays a sample handout to promote further Interaction with the target nouns. It is vitally important that this writing activity comes after the Illustration and Induction steps. Without sufficient exposure to the forms, meanings, and uses of *attribute, characteristic*, and *trait*, students may find it very difficult to approach the task. The theme and goal of this handout are connected with the C1 and C2 skill set: 'characteristics of a successful student.' First, students form small groups and draft survey questions based on the theme. Second, the students will interview other students on campus and collect demographic data. Once each group has identified the top three *characteristics* of a successful student mentioned by participants, students will individually write a paragraph summary (150 words) to highlight the results. In the writing process, they will be asked to underline the target nouns that are used². Lastly, students will report their results to the class in a class presentation or poster session format. The benefit of ending the I-I-I cycle with this activity is that it can function as a formative assessment for the vocabulary unit.

² In this case, not using *trait* shows the understanding that it is not a feature that can be developed or created.

Figure 16 Handout 2: Interaction, Part One

Categorize the collocates of *attribute, characteristic,* and *trait* into the meaning groups provided:

attribute of security attribute of a good/service the enjoyability of class characteristics of students characteristics of participants traits of substance abuse traits of autism

standard or quality

demographic, species, or property

cognition, behavior, or genetics

standard or quality → *attribute*



Note. Adapted from Nelson (2006).

Figure 17 Handout 3: Interaction, Part Two





"Top 3 Characteristics of a Successful Student"

The purpose of this survey was to identify the top 3 <u>characteristics</u> of a successful student, according to [insert school name] students. Based on the data collection, the survey respondents were [insert relevant demographic information]. The results tell us that the top 3 <u>characteristics</u> of a successful student are 1... 2... and 3... These strongly correlate with our school's core <u>attributes</u> or values, which are ____, ___, and ____.

Conclusion

When it comes to the application of corpus linguistics to teach plesionyms (or near-synonyms), there are many benefits and challenges. Some of the benefits include exposure to authentic, naturally occurring language in context and learner progression towards independent learning (Timmis, 2015; Friginal, 2018; Green, 2019). Using COCA, learners can build vocabulary banks dedicated to plesionyms and even create their own corpus based on the course text. Some of the challenges include the amount of corpus data, data randomization, and unfamiliarity with corpus query functions and techniques. All of these challenges are common reasons why teachers shy away from direct corpus use. Despite these familiar difficulties, plesionyms are context-dependent and require corpus analysis. The materials presented here, which present corpus data with added structure, are purposed to enable learners to discover the usage of the target phenomenon in context. Additional ways to develop students' ability to understand and use language in context include consulting experts within the target's high frequency registers (e.g., academic journals, web pages, popular magazines) and sub-registers (e.g., business, education, science/technology); exploring other corpora, such as parallel or learner corpora for crosslinguistic plesionym groups (e.g., L1 transfer in English to Japanese translation and vice versa); and implementation of student-initiated research (e.g., learners choose their own set of plesionyms to investigate) (Nelson, 2006; Hirst, 1995). One limitation of this study is its focus on a single corpus (COCA) and register (ACAD). There is potential to explore broader categories and corpora, such as parallel or learner corpora. Another limitation is insufficient data presented to represent patterns. Generally, the top 50 collocates and 10 concordance lines minimum should be presented for each pattern. The main searches were also limited to COCA's CHART, COLLOCATE, and COMPARE functions. In the end, there is always room for improvement and deeper exploration.

References

- attribute. 2022. In *Merriam-Webster.com*. Retrieved November 2, 2022, from <u>https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/attribute</u>
- Biber, D., Leech, G. & Conrad, S. (2002). Longman Student Grammar of Spoken and Written English. Longman.
- Biber, D., Reppen. R. & Conrad, S. (2007). *Corpus linguistics: Investigating language structure and use*. Cambridge University Press.
- characteristic. 2022. In *Merriam-Webster.com*. Retrieved November 2, 2022, from <u>https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/characteristic</u>
- Common European Framework (2015). *English Vocabulary Profile Online American English* [Website]. Available online at <u>https://www.englishprofile.org/american-english</u>.
- Coxhead, A. (2000). A New Academic Word List. TESOL Quarterly, 34, 213-238.
- Cruse, D. A. (1986). Lexical semantics. Cambridge University Press.
- Davies, M. (2008-). The Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA): 560 million words, 1990-present [Website]. Available online at <u>https://corpus.byu.edu/coca/</u>.
- DiMarco, C., Hirst, G., & Stede, M. (1993). The semantic and stylistic differentiation of synonyms and near-synonyms. In AAAI Spring Symposium on Building Lexicons for Machine Translation (pp. 114-121).
- Edmonds, P. (1999). Semantic Representations of Near-Synonyms for Automatic Lexical Choice. University of Toronto.
- Friginal, E. (2018). Corpus linguistics for English teachers: New tools, online resources, and classroom activities. Routledge.

Gardner, D., & Davies, M. (2013). A new academic vocabulary list. Applied linguistics, 35(3), 305-327.

- Gove, P. (1984). Webster's new dictionary of synonyms. Merriam-Webster.
- Green, B. A. (2019). Teaching and assessing multiword expressions using an open educational resources academic English corpus. In E. Hinkel (Ed.), *Teaching essential units of language: Beyond single-word vocabulary* (pp. 134-164). Routledge.
- Hirst, G. (1995). Near-synonymy and the structure of lexical knowledge. In AAAI Symposium on Representation and Acquisition of Lexical Knowledge: Polysemy, Ambiguity, and Generativity (pp. 51-56).
- Johns, T. (1991). Should you be persuaded: Two samples of data-driven learning materials. *English Language Research Journal*, 4, 1-16.
- Johns, T., & King, P. (Eds.). (1991). Classroom concordancing. English Language Research Journal, 4.
- Nelson, M. (2006). Semantic associations in Business English: A corpus-based analysis. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25(2), 217-234.
- O'Keeffe, A., McCarthy, M., & Carter, R. (2007). From corpus to classroom: Language use and language teaching. Cambridge University Press.
- Room, A. (1985). Dictionary of confusing words and meanings. Routledge.
- Timmis, I. (2015). Corpus linguistics for ELT: Research and practice. New York: Routledge.
- trait. 2022. In *Merriam-Webster.com*. Retrieved November 2, 2022, from <u>https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/trait</u>
- Vyatkina, N., & Boulton, A. (2017). Corpora in language teaching and learning. Language Learning and Technology, 21(3), 66-89.

Allswei Key for Handout 1. hlustration and induction
Guiding Questions:
A. <u>"Important" occurs in Lines 1, 2, 3, and 5.</u>
a. <u>Evaluative, positive, latent.</u>
B. <u>"Apartment" in Line 1, "security" "good or service" in Line 2, "usability and performance/audit</u>
trail" in Line 3, "leadership" in Line 4, and "health needs" in Line 5.
a. <u>Abstract, latent, non-living.</u>
C. "Demographic" in Lines 3 and 10. Also, "typical" and "biological" in Lines 6 and 7.
a. <u>Descriptive, neutral, observable, topical.</u>
D. "Student" in Line 6, "school dropout" in Line 9, and "tattooed persons" in Line 10.
a. <u>Concrete, observable, living.</u>
E. <u>"Behavioral" in Lines 13 and 15. Also, "confident" "motivated" in Line 1, "innovative thinking"</u>
in Line 2,
a. <u>Descriptive, neutral, observable, relational.</u>
F. <u>"Him" in Line 11, "you" in Line 12, "fire ant" in Line 13, "children" in Line 14, "substance</u>
abuse, violence, criminal behavior" in Line 15.
a. <u>Concrete, observable, living</u>
G. 'Attribute' and 'characteristic' both show examples of of-phrases. Of-phrases are used with species
nouns. Using a corpus, maybe I want to investigate of phrases for 'trait' too?

Appendix A Answer Key for "Handout 1: Illustration and Induction"



TESOL Working Paper Series

Answer Key:

cognition, behavior, or genetics \rightarrow *trait*

substance abuse, autism



About the Author

Sadie M. M. Nitta holds a BA TESOL degree from Brigham Young University – Hawai'i and an MA TESOL graduate from Hawai'i Pacific University. She has taught English in Hawai'i and Japan as well as contributed to corpus projects. Her main research interests are corpus linguistics, sociolinguistics, and peace linguistics.