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Please evaluate the poster presentation on a scale from 0-5 for each of the categories below (5 is best and 0 is worst).

**RESEARCH RUBRIC**

**APPEARANCE:**

1. Display attracts viewer's attention, graphics and other visuals enhance presentation. 0 1 2 3 4 5
2. Words and graphics are legible from an appropriate distance (3-5 feet). 0 1 2 3 4 5
3. Poster is logically organized and easy to comprehend. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Appearance Score: __________

**CONTENT**

4. Motivation for research is explained clearly. 0 1 2 3 4 5
5. Research/Driving question(s) is/are stated clearly and are meaningful. 0 1 2 3 4 5
6. Methodology/Interpretation procedure is clearly explained and linked to stated results/findings. 0 1 2 3 4 5
7. Findings/Interpretations are clearly described and seem reasonable. 0 1 2 3 4 5
8. Conclusions are supported and stated clearly. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Content Score: __________

**IMPACT:** This research

9. Brings exposure and clarity to an important issue. 0 1 2 3 4 5
10. Explains the findings' practical or theoretical implications, OR Explains how interpretation or analysis adds to understanding of the topic or work 0 1 2 3 4 5
11. Addresses obstacles/study limitations, OR addresses opposing interpretations; suggests possible directions for future research 0 1 2 3 4 5

Impact Score: __________

**PRESENTATION:** The presenter

12. Communicated clearly to the broad audience (people from all fields). 0 1 2 3 4 5
13. Referred to graphics effectively to support the presentation. 0 1 2 3 4 5
14. Answered questions effectively and discussed research in layman's terms. 0 1 2 3 4 5
15. Was confident, used a professional tone and body language, established eye contact. 0 1 2 3 4 5
16. TIME MANAGEMENT: Presenter was able to give a 7-minute presentation from start to finish. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Presentation Score: __________

**TOTAL SCORE:** /80

**COMMENTS, OPINIONS, AND JUDGE SELF-EVALUATION:**

Comments (Very important to the Committee, use the back side if needed):

Should this presentation win an award? Yes ___ No ___ Explain: _______________________________________

Judge’s estimate of ability to evaluate this presentation: High ______ Medium _____ Low ______