

Poster Presentation Evaluation: PROJECT WITH PRACTICAL APPLICATION

Poster ID# \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Session (circle one): 1 2 3

Student(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Select whether it is a \_\_\_\_\_ Graduate or \_\_\_\_\_ Undergraduate presentation.

For Committee use only:

Judge ID# \_\_\_\_\_

To Judges: Please evaluate the poster presentation on a scale from 0-5 for each of the categories below (5 is best and 0 is worst).

**PROJECT RUBRIC**

**APPEARANCE**

- 1. Display attracts viewer's attention, graphics and other visuals enhance presentation. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 2. Words and graphics are legible from an appropriate distance (3-5 feet). 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 3. Poster is logically organized and easy to comprehend. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Appearance Score:

**CONTENT**

- 4. Purpose of project is meaningful and is stated clearly. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 5. Theoretical and practical motivation for project is sound and is explained clearly. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 6. Project procedure is clearly explained and linked to stated outcomes. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 7. Project outcomes are meaningful and are clearly described. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 8. Shows evidence of integration of knowledge, skills, and perspectives gained through coursework. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Content Score:

**IMPACT.** This project

- 9. Has positive impact on local, national or international community. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 10. Has policy implications or demonstrates problem solving strategies that have positive impact on academic or general community. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Impact Score:

**PRESENTATION.** The presenter

- 11. Communicated clearly to the broad audience (people from all fields). 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 12. Referred to graphics effectively to support the presentation. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 13. Answered questions effectively and discussed research in layman's terms. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 14. Was confident, used a professional tone and body language, established eye contact. 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 15. TIME MANAGEMENT: Presenter was able to give a 7-minute presentation from start to finish. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Presentation Score:

**TOTAL SCORE:**  /75

**COMMENTS, OPINIONS, AND JUDGE SELF-EVALUATION:**

Comments (Very important to the Committee, use the back side if needed):

Should this presentation win an award? Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's estimate of ability to evaluate this presentation: High \_\_\_\_\_ Medium \_\_\_\_\_ Low \_\_\_\_\_