2015-2016 Assessment Cycle

Assessment Findings

Finding per Measure

Hawaii Pacific University ILOs with PLOs (Copy 1)

Critical Thinking

Students will identify and explain issues, analyze evidence, assess assumptions, define their own perspectives and positions, and present the implications and consequences of their conclusions.

Outcome: 2. Place questions and issues concerning the role of the military within their chronological and geographical context to serve as a foundati

▼ **Measure:** Assessment of Term Research Paper

Course level Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: A term research paper.

Acceptable Target: 80% of the students meet the expectations for this

PLO.

Ideal Target: 80% of the students exceed the expectations for

this PLO.

Implementation Plan

(timeline):

Collection in fall and/or spring semester

Analysis conducted during spring semester

Key/Responsible

Personnel:

Russell Hart & DMS Faculty.

Supporting Attachments:

BSDMS program Review Exercise Results Sprinf 2016 of ILO 1 (Microsoft Word)

BSDMS Spring 2016 program review assessment exercise report of HPU ILO.1 ASSESSMENT RESULTS

BSDMS Program Review Exercise Results Spring 2016 of PLO 2 (UD courses)
 RUBRIC (Microsoft Word)

BSDMS program Review spring 2016 exercise.

RUBRIC for Review of Upper Division coursework

BSDMS Program Review Exercise Spring 2016 RESULTS - PLO 2 (Microsoft Word)

BSDMS Program Review Assessment Exercise of PLO 2 Spring 2016 ASSESSMENT REPORT

BSDMS Spring 2016 Assessment Exercise of PLO 2 RUBRIC (Microsoft Word)

BSDMS Program Review Spring 2016 assessment of PLO 2. RUBRIC for review of lower division courses.

Findings for Assessment of Term Research Paper

Summary of Findings: On all assessments 80 percent

or more of the student

artifacts were judged to have met or exceeded the program

PLO 2 and ILO 1.

Results: Acceptable Target

Achievement: Exceeded; Ideal

Target Achievement:

Approaching

Recommendations: No issues were raised by the

assessment exercise.
Recommendation is to
continue to monitor and
regularly reassess the PLO as

well as the ILO.

Reflections/Notes: An appreciable artifact set

was assessed including all modalities (f2f, OL, hybrid), both campus (DT/CEIE) and both fulltime and adjunct

faculty.

Substantiating Evidence:

BSDMS program Review Exercise Results Sprinf 2016 of ILO 1 (Microsoft Word)

BSDMS Spring 2016 program review assessment exercise report of HPU ILO.1

ASSESSMENT RESULTS

BSDMS program Review spring 2016 exercise. RUBRIC for Review of Upper Division coursework

BSDMS Program Review Exercise Spring 2016 RESULTS - PLO 2 (Microsoft Word)

BSDMS Program Review Assessment Exercise of PLO 2 Spring 2016 ASSESSMENT REPORT

BSDMS Spring 2016 Assessment Exercise of PLO 2 RUBRIC (Microsoft Word)

BSDMS Program Review Spring 2016 assessment of PLO 2. RUBRIC for review of lower division courses.

These Findings are associated with the following Actions:

Continue Regular Program Review of this PLO

(Action Plan; 2015-2016 Assessment Cycle)

Action details: Plan to

regularly reassess every couple of semesters this PLO

Implementation Plan

(timeline):

Re-assess within the

next calendar year

Key/Responsible

Personnel:

Dr. Russell Hart, Director, Diplomacy & Military Studies Program Measures: Regular

semester
Program
Review
Assessment
Exercise
using a
broad cross
section of

section of student artifacts from different learning modalities, campuses, and

instructional

types.

Budget approval None

required? (describe):

Budget request amount: \$0.00

Priority: Low

Supporting Attachments:

Written Communication

Students will organize their thoughts and feelings, synthesize relevant information and concepts, and effectively, clearly, and persuasively communicate their perspectives through written language.

Outcome: 3. Make use of critically reflective tools for interpreting pertinent historical, cultural, philosophical, and political issues.

▼ Measure: Program Review Fall 2016 Written Comm: Make use of critically reflective tools for interpreting pertinent historical, cultural, philosophica Program level Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description:

Program Objective Assessed: Written Communications - Make use of critically reflective tools for interpreting pertinent historical, cultural, philosophical, and political issues.

- 1. Review the instructions for the assignment so you know what the student believed to be the expectations for the assignment.
- 2. Randomly select 5 papers/exams from each course to be assessed. Distribute them to each reader from the program review committee.
- 3. Each reader from the program review committee rates the level of each item of student work (artifact) on the criterion, so that there are 3-5 ratings for each piece of work. The following 1-4 scale is to be used.
- 4. Student work exceeds that objective
- 3. Student work meets that objective
- 2. Student work nearly meets that objective but fails to fulfill the objective
- 1. Student work clearly fails to meet that objective N/A. Objective not applicable
- 4. The program review committee then meets to finalize the rating scales for this class and an overall assessment is produced.

Acceptable Target:

80% of the students meet the expectations for this

PLO.

Ideal Target:

80% of the students exceed the expectations for

this PLO.

Implementation Plan

(timeline):

Collection in spring and/or fall semester Analysis

Key/Responsible

Personnel:

Dr. Russell Hart,. Brian Fila, & DMS Faculty.

conducted during fall semester

Supporting Attachments:

BS-DMS Fall 2016 Rubric.pdf (Adobe Acrobat Document)

Findings for Program Review Fall 2016 Written Comm: Make use of critically reflective tools for interpreting pertinent historical, cultural, philosophica

Summary of Findings: On all assessments 90 percent or

more of the student artifacts were judged to have met or exceeded

the program PLO 3.

Acceptable Target Achievement:

Exceeded

Ideal Target Achievement:

Exceeded

Results: Acceptable Target Achievement:

Exceeded; Ideal Target Achievement : Exceeded

Recommendations: No issues were raised by the

assessment exercise.

Recommendation is to continue to monitor and regularly reassess the

PLO as well as the ILO.

Reflections/Notes: An appreciable artifact set was

assessed including all modalities (f2f, OL, hybrid), both campus (DT/CEIE). Only full-time faculty were assessed. Future reviews need to assess adjunct faculty as

well.

Substantiating Evidence:

•FALL 2016-Program Review of SPRING 2016-DMS-PLO.pdf (Adobe
Acrobat Document)

These Findings are associated with the following Actions:

Action Plan for Written Comm:

PLO3 Fall 2016

(Action Plan; 2015-2016 Assessment

Cycle)

Action details: Plan to

regularly re-assess as part of the next five year

assessment

Re-assess

cycle.

Implementation Plan

(timeline):

within the first two years of the next fiveyear

assessment

cycle.

Key/Responsible

Personnel:

Dr. Russell

Hart,
Director,
Diplomacy

& Military Studies Program

Measures: Regular

semester Program Review Assessment Exercise

using a broad cross section of student artifacts from different learning modalities, with emphasis on adding

adjunct faculty.

Budget approval

None

required? (describe):

Budget request

\$0.00

amount:

Priority: Low

Supporting Attachments:

FALL 2016-Program Review of SPRING 2016-DMS-

PLO.pdf (Adobe Acrobat

Document)

Overall Recommendations

No problems were revealed in the program review assessment exercise. Recommendation is to continue to regularly assess the PLO.

Overall Reflection

Good assessment exercise. it was well constructed and broadly assessed across modalities, campuses, and instructor types.

No problems were encountered. Results consistently matched the desired bar (80% met or exceeded) PLO 2.



Assess regularly to ensure current level of performance is maintained.

Last Modified: 08/13/2018 12:53:17 PM HST